DOI:10.4197/Islec.35-2.6

Does Financial Performance Mediate the Effect of Good Corporate Governance on Indonesian Şukūk Rating?

Dwi Sulistiani

Lecturer, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia

Bambang Tjahjadi

Professor, Faculty of Education, Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang, Indonesia

Received: 22 June 2021; Revised: 7 November 2021; Accepted: 27 December 2021

ABSTRACT. Sukūk is one of the products of Islamic financial institutions IFIS), so the rating of such instruments should be considered. This study aims to provide empirical evidence of the influence of Good Corporate Governance on Sukūk rating, with financial performance as a mediating variable. Multiple regression, ordinal logistic regression and Sobel test were used to test the Assumptions on 222 Şukūk, which were listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange as of December 31st, 2020. The results show that the supervisory board's size, independence, experience, and the audit committee's size and experience directly affect the Sukūk rating. Financial performance has also been shown to have a direct influence on Sukūk rating, which in turn can mediate the effect of the three elements of the Good Corporate Governance supervisory function on Sukūk rating, namely: the size of supervisory boards, the independence and the number of Sharī'ah supervisory boards. It is therefore important for companies to pay due attention to the Good Corporate Governance, especially with regard to the supervisory function and financial performance to support Sukūk rating. This study confirms that the existence of a Sharī ah supervisory board can support the achievement of financial performance and Sukūk rating in IFIs.

Keywords: Şukūk, Good Corporate Governance, financial performance, Şukūk rating, Indonesia Stock Exchange.

JEL CLASSIFICATION: C14, D25, G34, M41, N25

KAUJIE CLASSIFICATION: H33, H65, J32, K16, L34, T4

1. Introduction

Credit rating is an essential indicator of the credit quality of a company. Bond rating information is also essential in providing information and improving the efficiency of the capital market (Bradford et al., 2019). The publication of such bond rating information can increase transparency of securities, which in turn can reduce the information asymmetry between management, shareholders and bondholders. The publication of bond ratings can also reduce the monitoring costs that need to be incurred by the company (Chiang et al., 2017). Companies that issue bonds must be monitored and evaluated by a governmentappointed rating agency (Alam et al., 2018), such as PEFINDO in Indonesia. Sukūk ratings differ from other securities and are separate from the issuer (corporate) rating. Şukūk requires an underlying asset that guarantees the use of the asset per the Sharī'ah contract, and companies issuing Sukūk are supervised by a national religious supervisor. The implementation and effectiveness of religiosity through Sharī'ah guidance helps companies to better maintain ethics, which further reduces the problem of incentives and moral hazard behavior (Grassa, 2013). It is, therefore, interesting to examine Sukūk rating, which contains an aspect of religiosity in their issuance.

In Agency Theory, agency costs are the sum of the monitoring costs incurred by the owner, the bonding cost incurred by the agent, and the residual income (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). research **Empirical** proves that corporate governance attributes mitigate not only agency conflicts between owner and manager but also potential conflicts between bondholders and shareholders that may lead to wealth transfer effects between them (Jiraporn et al., 2014; Alkhawaldeh, 2012; Hong, 2019). Public debt cannot be separated from bond ratings, which are influenced by corporate governance (Alali et al., 2012; Aman & Nguyen, 2013). Corporate governance is reflected in several different dimensions and measures. Based on the guidelines of the Indonesian Stock Exchange, the structure of corporate governance is divided into an executive function performed by the CEO (Chief Executive Officer) and the board of directors, and an

oversight function performed by the board of commissioners and its supporting committees (www.idx.co.id). At the same time, according to Law No. 21 of 2008, for companies that conduct business activities according to Sharī ah principles, the existence of a Sharī'ah supervisory board is added to the supervisory function (Menkumham. 2008). Previous research has examined MCMs with a focus on the oversight function, including examining the role of the supervisory board (Ntim et al., 2017; Elhai et al., 2018; Sahabbudin & Hadianto, 2020), the board of directors (Grassa, 2016), Sharī'ah board and the existence of an audit committee (Uhde et al., 2017; Putri & Prasetyo, 2020). However, previous research results provide inconsistent evidence on the effect of board size on bond ratings.

Several studies have found positive effects (Aman & Nguyen, 2013; Elhaj et al., 2018; Sahabbudin & Hadianto, 2020). Meanwhile, other researchers have found a negative impact (Altwijry, 2015; Zemzem & Zouari, 2016). There are even those who did not find any influence (Grassa, 2016; Mariana, 2016; Marfuah, 2016). Research on the impact of supervisory board independence on bonds rating also shows conflicting results. Some found a positive influence (Grassa, 2016; Sahabbudin & Hadianto, 2020), a negative influence (Marfuah, 2016; Mariana, 2016) and no effect (Altwijry, 2015; Zemzem & Zouari, 2016; Elhaj et al., 2018). Conflicting results also exist regarding the effect of audit committee's size on bond rating. Some studies show a positive influence (Marfuah, 2016; Sahabbudin & Hadianto, 2020) and others that show no effect (Mariana, 2016). The application of religious councils' authorizations is effective and efficient in monitoring management. The rating agency should also pay attention in the evaluation of Şukūk rating (Grassa, 2016). Research on the association of Sharī'ah board with bond rating also provides inconsistent results (Mseddi & Naifar, 2013; Grassa, 2016). For instance, OJK (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan) regulation number 34 of 2014 states that the remuneration committee is a committee formed to be able to provide suggestions regarding the remuneration of the board of directors and their ranking for their performance (OJK, 2015). Their existence will be able to improve the performance of directors and employees, which will impact the company's performance (Elmagrhi et al., 2020).

Therefore, this study uses an intervening variable, namely financial performance, which is supposed to mediate the effect of GCG on *Şukūk* rating. Previous studies have successfully provided evidence of the influence of GCG on financial performance (Mahrani & Soewarno, 2018; Chou & Buchdadi, 2018; Ghalib, 2018; Kurniati, 2019; Darwanto & Chariri, 2019). Other studies can also provide evidence of an influence between financial performance and credit ratings (Elhaj et al., 2018; Skousen et al., 2018; Qizam & Fong, 2019; Dewi & Utami, 2020). Based on the above evidence, it can be concluded that financial performance is appropriate to be used as an intervening variable in the influence between GCG and *Şukūk* rating.

This study differs from previous research in several ways. First, this study uses the financial performance variable as a mediating variable in the effect of GCG on Sukūk rating, and previous studies have never used this mediating variable. Second, most previous literature examines governance through shareholders' perceptions (Quttainah et al., 2013). This research focuses more on the perspective of bondholders with specific reference to Islamic bonds (Sukūk). Only a few papers have studied the effect of corporate governance on bond ratings (Alkhawaldeh, 2012; Alali et al., 2012; Aman & Nguyen, 2013; Sahabbudin & Hadianto, 2020) and credit in Islamic banking (Grassa, 2016). Meanwhile, few have conducted specific research on $Suk\bar{u}k$ in this area. Third, the corporate governance of a Sukūk issuer differs from that of bond or equity issuers, which lies in the existence of a Sharī'ah supervisory board that oversees the use of $Suk\bar{u}k$ funds to identify activities that do not violate the Sharī'ah contract.

The emergence of a vigorous *Sharī ah-compliant* financial services sector concentrated in the Middle East and Asia represents an attempt to develop an independent financial system alongside the dominant one, and thus provides significant

additional options for risk diversification (Sherif & Erkol, 2017). Much of the considerable asset growth in some countries has been fuelled by $Suk\bar{u}k$, which are different from bonds or other debt instruments. Sukuk, like stocks, represent the ownership share of the assets of a project or a private investment activity; therefore, the rights of the $Suk\bar{u}k$ holder are determined in the assets of the project or company itself, while bonds are payable to the issuing company and are not linked to the specified assets (Aidi & Salama, 2019). As this difference is unique to $Suk\bar{u}k$, it is worth exploring further.

This study aims to provide empirical evidence regarding the impact of supervisory function in GCG on $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating with financial performance as a mediating variable. This study makes a practical contribution to the prediction of $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating through governance, especially with the supervisory function performed by the $Suk\bar{u}k$ issuing company. This research is important in providing empirical evidence for corporate governance research related to $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating and financial performance, and it highlights the new developments in $Suk\bar{u}k$ including the role of the $Shar\bar{t}$ ah board and the remuneration committee.

2. Literature Review and Assumptions

2.1. Agency Theory

The Agency Theory assumes that the CEO (agent) has more crucial information, as the principal cannot monitor the activities of the company on a continuous and periodic basis. Since the principal does not have sufficient information about the agent's performance, he can never be sure how the effort contributes to the company's actual results. This conflict is then referred to as agency costs. Jensen & Meckling (1976) divide agency costs into three types: 1) monitoring costs, costs designed for the activities carried out by the agent; 2) bonding costs, to ensure that the agent will not act in a way that may harm the principal, or to ensure that the principal will provide remuneration if the agent does indeed take the right action; 3) the residual cost, which is equivalent to a decrease in principal-based welfare due to differences in interests. According to Islamic principles, the agent is a fiduciary, so he does not need to be guaranteed unless he commits a breach through negligence or violation of 2021: instructions (Al-Masri, 204). existence of the supervisory function in the MCM structure is one of the bonding costs that can ensure that the agent does not take actions detrimental to the principal. The board of commissioners (especially the independent ones), Sharī'ah supervisory board, the audit committee and the remuneration committee under the board of commissioners are formed to supervise the managers (agents) to make the right decisions.

2.2. Sukūk (Islamic bonds)

Sukūk securities of equivalent are denominations representing ordinary shares in the ownership of property $(a \dot{v} \bar{a} n)$, returns, services or private investment activities. On the one hand, bonds depend on interest-based loans. On the other hand, Sukūk rely on the existence of legitimate assets that form the basis of the securitization process and generate income; investors own these assets based on their shares. It is not like a bond which is based on interest-based loans (Aidi & Salama, 2019). Also, unlike bonds, *Sukūk* issuers are not obliged to provide returns to investors if the investment performance is below expectations, so the risk of default is lower. In Sukūk, there should be no fixed payments to investors and the profit sharing is based on investment performance in the real economic sector (Aidi & Salama, 2019). Whether the Sukūk is equity-based (with similar characteristics to equities) or debt-based (with similar characteristics to convertible bonds in the conventional sense), the expected market reaction should not be the same as for bonds.

2.3. *Sukūk* rating

The credit rating agency (CRA) ranking indirectly affects income inequality, but also provides a better and broader understanding of income distribution, especially in developing countries (Biglaiser & McGauvran, 2021). Good Corporate Governance can affect the corporate bond rating. However, relative scale and audit fees have a negative effect on bond ratings. Bond specific attributes (collateral and credit size) are

positively related to bond rating. Agency costs reduced should be with a reduction shareholding. which in turn can benefit bondholders (Bradford et al., 2019). Shareholders who disagree with auditors have an impact on lowering yield spreads and bond ratings. Other test results also provide evidence that audit attributes (auditor seniority, auditor size, audit fees) and the auditor's characteristics affect the cost of debt based on perceived auditor's quality (Bao & Tanyi, 2020). Aman & Nguyen (2013) report that the better the company's governance, the higher its credit rating will be. Previous research has shown that credit rating has a negative effect on the size of the board of directors with 5% ownership, a positive effect on the rights of minority shareholders, and a positive effect on the quality of working capital accrual: and the speed of its acquisition is positively related independence of the board of directors and the ownership of the board of directors, while it is negatively related to the power of the CEO on the board of directors. Strong corporate governance will lead to a better credit rating, and the relationship is stronger for small companies than large ones (Alali et al., 2012). The credit rating estimation model is based on the financial information published by the company (Plakandaras et al., 2020). The structural MCM is divided into the executive functions, namely: CEO and oversight functions performed by the board of commissioners, remuneration committee and audit committee (Uribe-Teran & Mosquera, 2019). The above research results prove that there is an effect of corporate governance (CGM) on credit ratings. The better the corporate governance, the more reward the company will get by increasing its credit rating, including, in this case, its Sukūk rating.

2.4. Assumptions

Companies with good governance can guarantee their suppliers a return on the money invested in the form of dividends to shareholders, interest and principal to the bank, and coupons and principal to bondholders (Shleifer & Vishny, 1997). In implementing good governance, bond issuing companies must control the top management by appointing a supervisory board.

Indonesia adopts a two-tier system; as a result, there is a board of commissioners and directors in a company (Hartanto & Sulaksono, 2019). The resource-based view theory states that a large number of supervisory boards consisting of many experts are needed to provide advice to the board of directors to improve the performance of the company. Their existence will enable the company to achieve a high bond rating status (Aman & Nguyen, 2013; Elhaj et al., 2018). Directors are prohibited from investing the money from the issued bonds in dangerous projects favored by the controlling shareholders or distributing the money from the issued bonds as dividends to the company's shareholders. It is expected that the risk of outstanding bonds will decrease so that the rating status of the company's bonds will increase (Grassa, 2016).

Meanwhile, R. Khalil et al. (2020) found that independent directors with multiple directorships allocate their monitoring efforts unevenly depending on the relative prestige of the director. A bank loan from a company with a higher proportion of independent directors has a relatively higher bond rating. The attention of independent directors is associated with lower borrowing costs (Huang et al.,2018). The above research results prove the importance of having a board of independent commissioners to represent minority shareholders in a *Şukūk* issuing company.

Several studies have examined the different effects of the size of the Sharī'ah board. An Islamic board with a higher number of scholars will be an indirect incentive for the management's opportunistic behavior, which ensures better management decision-making and leads to better credit rating (Grassa, 2016). Previous research proves the importance of a Sharī'ah supervisory board, especially for Şukūk issuing companies whose mechanisms are regulated based on Islamic law, which is undoubtedly different from the rules for bonds in general. A Sharī'ah supervisory board also ensures that the company will apply Islamic rules accordingly (Menkumham, 2008). Islamic banks and bank management have the option to accept and follow their advice or not, which in turn can challenge the influential role played by the

Sharī'ah board as a body to supervise Sharī'ah practices in Islamic banks (Grassa, 2013). The supervisory role of the Sharī'ah board will indirectly monitor and control the management activities to make better decisions to reduce the risk of default which in turn may affect its credit rating.

Accurate information must meet two principles, namely transparency and disclosure. Therefore, it is necessary to establish an audit committee that oversees the directors and ensures that these principles have been appropriately implemented (Grassa, 2016). If this committee can function properly, there will be a reduction in opportunistic board behavior. In addition, bond issuers will have a low risk of default and an increase in their rating status. The audit committee's effectiveness in performing this function depends on the number of individuals in the position; the more individuals in the audit committee, the better the rating status of the bonds (Marfuah, 2016). Based on previous research, it has been proven that the number of audit committees and their competence can affect a company's credit rating.

The effect of a remuneration committee has not been studied much, especially in Sukūk issuing companies. The remuneration of an independent committee strengthens the link between top managers' salaries and company performance. The independent remuneration committee pays top managers more (Usman et al., 2020). High salaries affect the performance of CEOs, which is consistent with the managerial premise because top managers who work for companies with better governance receive less income than companies with poor governance. The quality of the internal governance structure affects the sensitivity of performance payments. These results are consistent with the optimal contract theory and the managerial power assumption (Elmagrhi et al., 2020). More independent boards and larger companies strengthen the association between pay and performance (Blanes et al., 2020). The results of this study indicate that the existence of a remuneration committee can improve GCG, which in turn, affects increasing the rating of Sukūk.

Based on the above explanation, the first assumption of this research is as follows:

H1: Each supervisory function in the GCG structure affects Şukūk rating.

The empirical results confirm that the Sharī ah board has a significant and positive effect on the financial performance of Islamic banks in terms of return on assets (as an indicator of return rate) and capital adequacy ratio (as an indicator of capital level), and has a negative effect on non-financial performance (as an indicator of funding risk). At the same time, the board of directors significantly affects the financial performance of Islamic banks, following the three components of the Sharī'ah supervisory board (Darwanto & Chariri, 2019). GCG and CSR (corporate social responsibility) mechanisms have a positive effect on financial performance and earnings management (Mahrani & Soewarno, 2018). Good Corporate Governance has a significant effect on stock returns in a negative direction but has no significant effect on financial performance (Kurniati, 2019). Bank governance scores can directly affect the performance of banks as measured by ROA and ROE. The bank governance score is positive and significant for ROA (Return On Asset) and ROE (Return On Equity) models. Bank stability is also favourable for bank performance, which shows an indirect contribution of GCG rating to bank performance.

Institutional ownership, a number of meetings and commissions have a significant positive effect on net working capital turnover (NWCT); only the number of commissioners variable is not proven. NWCT has a significant positive effect on ROE, while the variables of number of meetings and number of commissioners are not proven. NWCT is proven to mediate the relationship between several meetings and ROE, while the other variables are not proven (Wijaya, Rohman & Zulaikah, 2018). GCG is the most significant determinant of bank profitability. GCG and the combination of better credit risk management and the right business strategy can increase banks' profitability (Ghalib, 2018). The remuneration and nomination committee (CNC) has a negative relationship with executive remuneration (EC). While the variable of beneficial ownership (BO),

which is used as the dependent variable in this study, reveals the possibility of agency problems or inactive block holder conditions that make the role of corporate supervision ineffective, as the results show that the more BO, the more EC, and worse corporate performance (Chou & Buchdadi, 2018). Based on the previous research results, the following assumption can be derived:

H2: Each of the supervisory functions in the MCM structure affects financial performance.

The reliability of the financial disclosure quantity (FDQ) affects Sukūk rating, but not that of bonds. Leverage is considered the most influential factor in rating Sukūk and bonds. FDO, to some extent, was found to influence the relationship between ABR-Arbor Realty (i.e. operating income, leverage and ROI) and Sukūk or bond rating. The distinction between Sukūk and bond issuers is not proven. empirically Furthermore. there additional evidence that relevance stands out more than reliability, and bond ratings are more affected by financial size variability than Sukūk; further research is needed to confirm this. This is sufficient evidence of the extensive relevance of the value of financial disclosures in Sukūk and bond markets (Qizam & Fong, 2019). Thus, it can concluded that there are performance differences between Sukūk and bonds in terms of corporate performance and rating. Meanwhile, based on the research results and data on the effect of profitability and liquidity on bond ratings and their effect on bond yields, it can be concluded that profitability has a significant effect on bond ratings, liquidity has no significant effect on bond ratings, and bond ratings have a significant effect on bond yields (Dewi & Utami, 2020). The above research provides evidence of the importance of financial performance in increasing a company's credit rating.

The results of other studies indicate that after controlling company characteristics, *Şukūk* rating is positively related to CEO-Chairman duality, board size and independence, and negatively correlated with leverage, while positively associated more with profitability and size. The results of this study also prove that having two positions in an organization, CEO and chairman, can increase the responsibility in corporate

decision-making provide better and rating performance of Sukūk. Furthermore, the results show that the larger the board size, the better the greater rating. In addition. independence leads to better ratings (Elhaj et al., 2018). There is a significant positive relationship between CEO network centrality and bond rating, indicating that companies with better-connected CEOs receive better bond ratings. Companies with better-connected CEOs experience a lower cost of debt, which is measured by bond yield. The results are consistent with the notion in social science that well-connected CEOs can provide positive outcomes and benefit their companies (Skousen et al., 2018). Based on the above explanation, the third assumption is derived as follows:

H3: Financial performance affects Şukūk rating.

Previous studies have successfully provided evidence of the influence of GCG on financial performance (Mahrani & Soewarno, 2018; Chou & Buchdadi, 2018; Ghalib, 2018; Kurniati, 2019; Darwanto & Chariri, 2019). Other studies can also provide evidence of the influence of financial performance on credit ratings (Elhaj et al., 2018; Skousen et al., 2018; Qizam & Fong, 2019; Dewi & Utami, 2020). A better supervisory function will lead to better governance, so it can improve the company's performance, which in turn can increase the rating of Sukūk issued. Based on the above evidence, it can be concluded that financial performance is appropriate to be used as an intervening variable in influencing the GCG on $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating.

H4: Financial performance can mediate the effect of the supervisory function in the GCG structure on Sukūk rating.

2.5. Control variable

This study uses company characteristics namely: company size, age and leverage as control variables. Company size shows the resources and capabilities of a company. Large companies tend to be more leveraged, which exposes them to a greater risk of bankruptcy. Previous research has shown that company size has a positive effect on the company's credit rating (de Souza Murcia et al., 2014; Borhan & Ahmad, 2018). The age of the company shows its maturity in managing the busi-

ness. Previous research has shown that the age of the company affects the credit rating of the company (Marshall et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2020). Leverage shows how companies use their debt. The higher the company's debt relative to its equity, the greater the risk of default it may face. Previous research has proven that there is a negative influence between leverage and credit rating (Ashbaugh-Skaife et al., 2006; Harper et al., 2019).

3. Research Methodology

3.1. Sample

This research examines Sukūk that were still outstanding as of December 31, 2020, which is 250 Sukūk. After using the purposive sampling method by eliminating 9 MTN (Medium Term Notes) Sukūk, 1 Sukūk whose company was already bankrupt, 3 Sukūk that did not pay until 2020 and 15 Sukūk with incomplete data, the final sample used was 222 Sukūk. Sukūk rating data was obtained from the Indonesia Bond Market Directory report on the official website of the Stock Exchange. Data on Indonesia supervisory function of each Sukūk issuing company can be found in the annual report published one year before the issuance of each Sukūk. The supervisory function here is divided into the number of commissioners, percentage of independent boards of commissioners, number of Sharī'ah boards, length of experience of the Sharī'ah board, number of audit committees and length of experience of the audit committee, remuneration committee and length of experience the remuneration committee exercising supervisory function in each Sukūk issuing company. These divisions perform supervisory functions according to their respective areas.

3.2. Research Model

A structure-based oversight function in the MCM consists of a particular structure. First, is the existence of a Board of Commissioners and an independent Board of Commissioners. In Indonesia, which implements a two-tier system, there is a separation between the Board of Directors and the Board of Commissioners. On the board of commissioners, some commissioners are also shareholders of the company and a board of

independent commissioners. An independent commissioner means that he or she has no relationship with the company, either as a shareholder or by serving in the executive structure of the company. This independent auditor is responsible for representing the minority shareholders, so his presence is necessary for the supervisory function. The second supervisory function is for companies that issue financial instruments with Sharī'ah contracts; for these, the existence of a Sharī'ah supervisory board is essential in the supervisory function. The number of Sharī'ah supervisory boards and experience in this field are essential to ensure the implementation of Sharī'ah provisions. Third, there is the audit committee whose task is to

review the company's financial statements and check whether they comply with the applicable accounting standards. Their existence experience are necessary to ensure accountability and financial transparency in this area. Fourth, the remuneration committee is responsible overseeing the awarding of remuneration for the executive function (company officer), to determine whether it meets the principles of fairness and honesty for all parties. Therefore, it is expected that the existence of the remuneration committee and its experience can achieve the GCGs in the company. Based on the above research model image, the following is the research equation model:

Sukūk Rating = $\alpha + \beta 1$ Board size + $\beta 2$ Board Independent + $\beta 3$ Sharī ah Board Size + $\beta 4$ Sharī ah Board Experience + $\beta 5$ Audit Committee Size + $\beta 6$ Audit Committee Experience + $\beta 7$ Remuneration Committee Size + $\beta 8$ Remuneration Committee Experience + $\beta 9$ Leverage + $\beta 10$ Firm Size + $\beta 11$ Firm Age + ε(H1)

Financial Performance (ROA) = $\alpha + \beta 1$ Board size + $\beta 2$ Board Independent + $\beta 3$ Sharī ah Board Size + $\beta 4$ Sharī ah Board Experience + $\beta 5$ Audit Committee Size + $\beta 6$ Audit Committee Experience + $\beta 7$ Remuneration Committee Size + $\beta 8$ Remuneration Committee Experience + $\beta 9$ Leverage + $\beta 10$ Firm Size + $\beta 11$ Firm Age + ε(H2)

 $Suk\bar{u}k \ Rating = \alpha + \beta 1 \ ROA + \beta 2 - \beta 4 \ Control \ Variable + \varepsilon$(H3)

Şukūk Rating = $\alpha + \beta 1$ Board size + $\beta 2$ Board Independent + $\beta 3$ Sharī ah Board Size + $\beta 4$ Sharī ah Board Experience + $\beta 5$ Audit Committee Size + $\beta 6$ Audit Committee Experience + $\beta 7$ Remuneration Committee Size + $\beta 8$ Remuneration Committee Experience + $\beta 9$ Financial Performance + $\beta 10$ Leverage + $\beta 11$ Firm Size - $\beta 12$ Firm Age + ε (H4)

3.3. Measurement of variables

In order to better understand each variable, we describe below the definition, measurement and

type of scale for each variable in this study, which is described in Table 1 on operational variables:

Table 1. Variable Measurement

Variable	Measurement	Scale			
	Dependent Variable				
Şukūk Rating t-1	Sukūk rating by Pefindo AAA = 7, AA+ - AA- = 6, A+ - A- = 5, BBB+ - BBB- = 4,	Ordinal			
(y)	BB+ - BB- = 3, $B+ - B- = 2$, $D-CCC+ = 1$				
	Independent Variable				
Supervisory Board Size t-1	Number of commissioners a year prior to the issuance of the ṣukūk	Ratio			
(x1a)					
Supervisory Board Independ-	The ratio of the independent board of commissioners to the board of commissioners	Ratio			
ence $_{t-1}(x1b)$	a year before the issuance of the ṣukūk				
Sharīʿah Supervisory Board	Number of Sharī'ah supervisory boards a year before the issuance of the ṣukūk	Ratio			
Size _{t-1} (x2a)					
Sharīʿah Supervisory Board	The average length of experience of the Sharī ah board in this field is a year before	Ratio			
Experience _{t-1} (x2b)	the issuance of the şukūk				

Audit Committee Size t-1 (x3a)	Number of audit committees a year prior to the issuance of the sukūk				
Audit Committee Experience t-	Audit Committee Experience t- The average length of experience of the audit committee in this field is one year				
$_{1}$ (x3b)	prior to the issuance of the sukūk				
Remuneration Committee Size	Number of remuneration committees a year before the issuance of the sukūk	Ratio			
₋₁ (x4a)					
Remuneration Committee	neration Committee The average length of experience of the remuneration committee in this field is one				
Experience $_{t-1}$ (x4b)	year prior to the issuance of the sukūk				
	Mediating Variable				
Financial Performance t-1 (z) ROA (return on assets) a year prior to the issuance of the ṣukūk		Ratio			
Control Variable					
Leverage _{t-1} (c1)	Ratio of long-term debt to the market value of total assets 1 year prior to the issuance	Ratio			
	of the sukūk				
Firm Size _{t-1} (c2)	Natural log of total assets a year prior to the issuance of the sukūk Rati				
Firm Age _{t-1} (c3)	The natural log of the firm's age (in years). One year prior to the issuance of the ṣukūk	Ratio			

Source: Authors' Own

3.4. Assumption Testing Methods

Descriptive statistics were used to provide an overview and distribution of the research data. At this stage, they were used to determine the mean, median, standard deviation, minimum and maximum values that can provide information about the data distribution. Once the descriptive statistics were determined, the next step was to test the feasibility of the research model. Next comes assumption testing. This study used the same methodology as that used by (Ashbaugh-Skaife et al., 2006). The results of the empirical tests based on the above model were aimed at examining the effect of GCG supervisory function on $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating. Since the dependent variable ($Suk\bar{u}k$ rating) is an ordinal scale, this study uses

ordinal logistic regression to test the Assumptions, as the seven categories of $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating are on an ordinal scale. The effect of GCG supervisory function on financial performance was tested by multiple regression. At the same time, the indirect effect of GCG supervisory function on $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating was tested through the financial performance calculated using Sobel test. After testing the assumption, the next step was to interpret the results and finally draw the study's conclusions, limitations and implications.

Descriptive statistics describing the data distribution across all observations in this study are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Basic Statistics

Variable	N	Mean	Median	StDev	Minimum	Maximum
Şukūk rating	222	6.3108	7.0000	1.1003	1.0000	7.0000
Supervisory Board Size	222	6.613	6.000	2.191	3.000	10.000
Supervisory Board Independence	222	0.3302	0.3333	0.1771	0.0000	1.0000
Sharīʿah Supervisory Board Size	222	0.8694	0.0000	1.2389	0.0000	3.0000
Sharīʿah Supervisory Board Experi-	222	2.511	0.000	3.934	0.000	17.000
ence						
Audit Committee Size	222	3.5586	3.0000	1.4122	0.0000	7.0000
Audit Committee Experience	222	3.525	2.833	2.964	0.000	13.667
Remuneration Committee Size	222	2.968	4.000	1.939	0.000	6.000
Remuneration Committee Experi-	222	1.6814	1.7500	1.3803	0.0000	5.6667
ence						
Financial Performance	222	0.0123	0.0092	0.0289	-0.0572	0.0769
Leverage	222	18.168	17.821	1.708	15.168	21.184
Firm Size	222	3.6585	3.9703	0.5822	2.3979	4.7791
Firm Age	222	0.4596	0.3766	0.2252	0.0873	0.8953
Notes: This table reports summary statistics for the variables include in our main regressions.						

Source: Authors' Own

Based on Table 2 above. we see that the average Sukūk rating is 6.3, meaning it has an AA-. AA or AA+ rating. The average number on the board of commissioners is 6.6. or rounded to 7 people in each company. The average percentage of independent commissioners is 33% of the full board of commissioners. The number of Sharī'ah supervisory boards is 0.86 or rounded to 1. This proves that not all Sukūk have a Sharī'ah supervisorv board in their company. experience of the Sharī'ah supervisory board is an average of 2.5 years having served in the same position in the company concerned and in other companies. The average number of audit committees is 3.55 or rounded to 4 people with an average experience of 3.5 years. The average number of remuneration committees is 2.9 or rounded to 3 people with an average experience of 1.68 or rounded to 2 years. The intervening variable in this study is financial performance as measured by ROA with an average of 0.01232, meaning that the net profit of the $Suk\bar{u}k$ issuing company to its total assets is 1.23%. This is because several Sukūk issuing companies suffered losses the year before issuing the Sukūk. The structure of the supervision function in GCG coupled with the company's financial performance and three control variables greatly influence the Sukūk rating, with an adjusted square value of 95.3%. Therefore, a company issuing Sukūk must pay attention to the structure of the supervision function within the company to increase its Sukūk rating.

4. Findings

4.1. The effect of the supervisory function in the GCG structure on Sukūk rating

The first assumption of this study examines the effect of each supervisory function of the GCG on $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating. The supervisory function of GCG greatly influences $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating, as shown by the concordance value of 95.3%. This means that 95.3% of the $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating is influenced by the structure of the GCG supervisory function, while other factors influence the rest, and it shows that the existence of a supervisory function in a company is essential, especially to increase the rating of the $Suk\bar{u}k$ it issues. The results of the first assumption test can be described as follows:

Table 3. First Assumptions Test

Variable	Coef	SE Coef	p-Value
Constant			
Supervisory Board Size	-0.5385***	0.1695	0.001***
Supervisory Board Independent	7.9743***	1.6691	0.000***
Sharī'ah Supervisory Board Size	-0.2903	0.3393	0.392
Sharī'ah Supervisory Board Experience	-0.4697***	0.1163	0.000***
Audit Committee Size	0.7063**	0.2790	0.011**
Audit Committee Experience	-0.2171**	0.1080	0.044**
Remuneration Committee Size	-0.1150	0.2069	0.578
Remuneration Committee Experience	-0.3419	0.3448	0.321
Leverage	-1.4247***	0.3087	0.000***
Firm Size	1.1346**	0.4626	0.014**
Firm Age	6.4484***	1.6466	0.000***

Source: Authors' Own

The results of the direct effect test of supervisory function on $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating in Table 3 prove that all the elements can exert influence, except the size of Sharī'ah supervisory board, the size of the remuneration committee and their experiences. The number of supervisory boards, the experience of the Sharī'ah supervisory board, the experience of the audit committee and the

leverage have a negative effect on the rating of $Suk\bar{u}k$. This means that the more boards and the more experience, the lower the $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating. On the other hand, the increase in the number of audit committees, the independence of the supervisory board, the size and age of the company have a positive effect, which means that the $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating results are higher. This result proves that an

experienced supervisory function has indeed lowered $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating. This indicates that a $Suk\bar{u}k$ with a low rating requires staff in more supervisory functions and involves people with longer experience than $Suk\bar{u}k$ with a high rating.

4.2. The effect of the supervisory function in the GCG structure on financial performance

The GCG supervisory function model, which consists of nine financial performance variables, has an R-squared value of 46.26%. This means that 46.26% of financial performance is influenced by the supervisory function in the GCG structure, while other factors influence the rest.

Table 4. Test of the second assumption

Variable	Coef	SE Coef	p-Value	VIF
Constant	0.0129	0.0219	0.558	
Size of the Supervisory Board	-0.0061***	0.0010	0.000***	2.17
Supervisory Board Independent	0.0478***	0.0100	0.000***	1.44
Size of the Sharī ah Supervisory Board	0.0131***	0.0022	0.000***	3.57
Experience of the Sharī'ah Supervisory Board	-0.0011	0.0009	0.215	5.50
Size of the Audit Committee	-0.0025	0.0015	0.111	2.21
Audit committee experience	-0.0011*	0.0006	0.077*	1.63
Size of the Remuneration committee	0.0035***	0.0013	0.008***	2.97
Remuneration committee Experience	-0.0011	0.0019	0.542	3.03
Leverage effect	0.0012	0.0013	0.360	2.13
Company size	0.0047	0.0030	0.123	1.41
Age of the company	-0.0401***	0.0125	0.002***	3.63

Source: Multiple regression results
Source: Authors' Own

The results of the statistical output in Table 4 show that there are 5 structures of the supervisory function in the GCG that affect financial performance, namely: the size of the supervisory board, and the size of independent supervisory boards. The size of the Sharī'ah supervisory boards, the experience of the audit committee and the size of the remuneration committee in Sukūk issuing companies. On the other hand, the experience of the Shari'ah supervisory board, the audit committee's size and the remuneration committee's experience do not affect the financial performance. As far as the control variables are concerned, only company size has an impact on Sukūk rating. This shows that the size of the company is taken into account by PEFINDO in determining the rating of Sukūk. The number of supervisory board and audit committee experiences has a negative effect, while the number of independent auditors, the number of

Sharī'ah supervisory boards and the number of remuneration committees have a positive effect on financial performance. This proves that a company's financial performance is influenced not only by the number of people involved in the supervisory function or their experience, but also by other factors.

4.3. The effect of financial performance on *Şukūk* ratings

The feasibility of this model, which is indicated by the concordant value, is 89%. This means that 89% of *Şukūk* rating is influenced by the company's performance, while other factors influence the rest. After the model was declared fit, it could continue to test the Assumptions. The research results regarding the effect of financial performance as represented by ROA on *Şukūk* rating can be proved in the following table:

Table 5. Test of the Third Assumption

Variable	Coef	SE Coef	p-value
Const-Şukūk Rating C & D	22.3852***	4.3535	0.000***
Const-Şukūk Rating BBB	23.1120***	4.3291	0.000***
Const-Şukūk Rating A	23.5288***	4.3238	0.000***

Const-Şukūk Rating AA	27.2645***	4.4625	0.000***
Const-Şukūk Rating AAA	27.3919***	4.4678	0.000***
Financial performance	11.2015*	5.9060	0.058*
Leverage effect	-1.6878***	0.2434	0.000***
Company size	0.1804	0.3348	0.590
Age of the company	1.0734	0.8451	0.204

Source: Authors' Own

Table 5 provides the results of the test on the effect of financial performance (ROA) on $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating. This study proves its effect positively. This shows that profitability is one of the elements that determine the rating of $Suk\bar{u}k$ issued by a company; the higher the company's performance, the higher the $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating. At the same time, the only control variable that affects this model is the company's leverage, while the other variables have no effect. This proves that the leverage of the company is important enough to determine the $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating of the company.

4.4. The indirect effect of the supervisory function in the GCG structure on Ṣukūk rating through financial performance.

The last assumption tested the ability of financial performance to mediate the association between GCG supervisory function and $Suk\bar{u}k$ rating. This indirect effect was tested using the online Sobel test. The results of the study are presented in Table 6 below:

Table 6. Fourth Assumption Test

Tuble of Fourth Historian Test				
Note	Test statistics	p-value		
x1a to y through z	-1.8125*	0.0699*		
x1b to y through z	1.7629*	0.0779*		
x2a to y by z	1.8966*	0.0579*		
x2b to y by z	-1.0397	0.2985		
x3a to y through z	-1.2258	0.2203		
x3b to y through z	-1.2977	0.1944		
x4a to y through z	1.5510	0.1209		
x4b to y through z	-0.5832	0.5598		

Source: http://quantpsy.org/sobel/sobel.htm

From Table 6, it can be concluded that financial performance, as measured by ROA, can influence the association between the supervisory function, including the number of supervisory boards, the percentage of independent supervisory boards, the number of Sharī'ah supervisory boards, and *Şukūk* rating. However, the financial performance failed to mediate the association of other supervisory function structures to *Şukūk* rating. The results of this study prove that the existence of a supervisory board, independent supervisory board and Sharī'ah supervisory board is necessary to increase the *Ṣukūk* rating of a company.

5. Discussion and Implications

A growing body of research focuses on the attributes of corporate governance from the

perspective of bondholders (Alali et al. 2012; Alkhawaldeh. 2012; Aman & Nguyen. 2013; Jiraporn et al. 2014). Empirical research proves that corporate governance attributes mitigate not only agency conflicts between owners and managers but also potential conflicts between bondholders and shareholders that may lead to wealth transfer effects between them (Alali et al.. 2012; Aman & Nguyen. 2013; Jiraporn et al.. 2014). Therefore, GCG is needed which can help to reduce these conflicts. One of the most important functions of GCG is the supervisory function to create responsibility, accountability, transparency, independence and fairness between the parties with interest in the Sukūk issuing company.

The results of this study prove that the supervisory function is very important in GCG, as

it can affect the company's performance and Sukūk rating. It has also been shown that the independence of the supervisory board affects the financial performance and Sukūk rating of a company. Companies with a high percentage of independent boards have relatively higher credit ratings and better financial performance (R. Khalil et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2018). An independent supervisory board is necessary to represent minority shareholders in a Sukūk issuing company. In addition, the existence of the Sharī'ah supervisory board in a Sukūk issuing company has also been proven to have a significant influence on both improving the financial performance and rating of its Sukūk. The Sharī'ah board is essential to ensure the implementation of transactions in accordance with Islamic rules so that it can increase the confidence of Sukūk investors and Sukūk rating agencies, which in turn leads to an increase in the rating of a company (Grassa. 2013; Grassa. 2016). The supervisory role of the Sharī ah board is indirectly able to monitor and control the management activities to ensure better decisions.

The existence of an audit committee and a remuneration committee can meet two principles of financial reporting, namely transparency and disclosure. They are responsible for overseeing the board of directors, ensuring that the above principles have been appropriately implemented and providing remuneration incentives based on the actual performance of directors (Grassa, 2016; Usman et al., 2020). If executed properly, the audit and remuneration committees would reduce the risk of default and increase the rating of Sukūk (Marfuah. 2016). One of the qualities of corporate governance is influenced by the remuneration system so that it can improve the company's performance (Elmagrhi et al., 2020; Blanes et al., 2020). The results of this study indicate that the existence of an audit committee and remuneration committee can improve financial performance.

It has been proven that company performance, both directly and as a mediator, can influence $Suk\bar{u}k$ ranking. Company profitability shows the ability of the company to generate profits, so this information is vital for $Suk\bar{u}k$ ranking (Qizam &

Fong, 2019; Elhaj et al., 2018; Skousen et al., 2018; Dewi & Utami, 2020). The results of this study provide new evidence that financial performance can mediate the effect of GCG supervision function on Sukūk ranking. A better supervisory function will lead to better governance so that it can improve the performance of the company, which in turn can increase the rating of the issued Sukūk. The supervisory function can reduce the binding cost in the Agency Theory, to ensure that the agent (manager) does not harm the principal. In addition, it can also reduce the monitoring costs in the case of Sukūk issuance, which involves not only the usual monitoring function but also a Sharīʿah supervisory board that oversees compliance with Islamic rules in corporate transactions. The more the parties supervise the managers, the more the companies will stay on track.

6. Conclusion and Limitations

This study aims to provide empirical evidence of financial performance in mediating the association between supervisory function and Sukūk rating. A total of 222 Sukūk that were still circulating on the IDX (Indonesian index) as of December 31st 2020, were tested, in order to show the results of the effect of the entire structure of the supervisory function of the GCG on Sukūk rating. The supervisory board's independence and the audit committee's size are the variables that influence Sukūk rating the most. In addition, sukūk rating is also influenced by the size of the supervisory board, experience of the Sharī'ah supervisory board, and experience of the audit committee. It has also been proved that the supervisory function of GCG affects the financial performance, especially in the 5 supervisory functions, namely: the number of supervisory boards, the percentage of independent supervisory boards, the number of Sharī'ah supervisory boards, the experience of audit committees and the number of remuneration committees. Independent supervisory board and number of Sharī'ah supervisory boards are the most influential variables in the association. Financial performance as an intervening variable has also been shown to have a direct effect on Sukūk rating. The results of this direct effect were determined by entering three control variables, namely: company age, company size and leverage. At the same time, the indirect effect of GCG monitoring function on Şukūk rating through financial performance provides positive evidence. Financial performance has successfully mediated the influence of some supervisory functions (the number of supervisory boards, the number of independent supervisory boards, and the number of Sharī'ah supervisory boards) on *Şukūk* rating. On the other hand, there is no evidence that other elements of the supervisory function of GCGs are mediated by financial performance.

This study attempted to provide empirical evidence that the supervisory function of the GCG in sukūk issuance is different from that of conventional bonds, especially with the existence of a Sharī'ah supervisory board which is necessary to supervise the compliance of activities with Sharī'ah. This research may be a reference for Şukūk issuing companies in terms of its GCG structure and promoting Sukūk rating. As for the regulators, the results of this study can be used as a basis in setting rules for GCG in Islamic companies. In addition to being both a practical and theoretical contribution, this research also has some limitations; first, it uses a small sample, of only 222 Sukūk circulating on the IDX; second, the indirect effect on ordinal scale-independent variables cannot be tested directly with some statistical software, but by a calculation based on the Sobel test which can be performed online. Future studies could involve a larger number of Şukūk and compare them across different countries. The differences in rules and culture that exist in a country may lead to different results. In addition, future research can also use Sukūk ratings with different measures, for example, on a ratio scale, so that the effects can be tested using path analysis.

References

- Aidi. A. bin H.. & Salama. M. (2019). Guarantees in Sukūk between Sharī'ah objectives and contract conditions. *Journal of King Abdulaziz University*. *Islamic Economics*. 32(1). 127–144. https://doi.org/10.4197/Islec.32-1.10
- **Alali. F.. Anandarajan. A. & Jiang. W.** (2012). The effect of corporate governance on the Firm's credit ratings: Further evidence using governance score in the United States. *Accounting and Finance*. 52(2). 291–312.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-629X.2010.00396.x

- Alam. N.. Bhatti. M.. & Wong. J. T. F. (2018). Assessing Sukūk defaults using value-at-risk techniques. *Managerial Finance*. 44(6). 665–687. https://doi.org/10.1108/MF-05-2018-0218
- **Alkhawaldeh. A. A.** (2012). Effects of family and foreign ownership structure on Jordanian credit risk assessments. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*. 90(90). 92–113.
- **Al-Masri. R. Y.** (2021). *Islamic Jurisprudence Of Financial Transactions*. https://iei.kau.edu.sa/Files/121/Files/152672_Al-Masri-Book.pdf
- Altwijry. O. I. (2015). The Role of Corporate Governance and Ownership in Unconventional Bond Rating: Empirical Evidence from Companies Listed on Bursa Malaysia. *Journal of Islamic Economics Banking and Finance*. 11(2). 105–117. https://doi.org/10.12816/0024917
- **Aman. H.. & Nguyen. P.** (2013). Does good governance matter to debtholders? Evidence from the credit ratings of Japanese firms. *Research in International Business and Finance*. 29(1). 14–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2013.02.002
- Ashbaugh-Skaife. H.. Collins. D. W.. & LaFond. R. (2006). The effects of corporate governance on firms' credit ratings. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 42(1–2). 203–243.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2006.02.003

Bao. M. X.. & Tanyi. P. (2020). Does auditor ratification matter to bondholders? Evidence from new bond issues. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*. *39*(4). 106765.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2020.106765

- **Biglaiser. G.. & McGauvran. R.** (2021). The effects of bond ratings on income inequality in the developing world. *Business and Politics*. *23*(1). 36–66. https://doi.org/10.1017/bap.2020.1
- Blanes. F., de Fuentes. C., & Porcuna. R. (2020). Executive remuneration determinants: New evidence from meta-analysis. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istrazivanja*. *33*(1). 2844–2866. https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2019.1678503
- Borhan, N. A., & Ahmad, N. (2018). Identifying the determinants of Malaysian corporate Şukūk rating. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 11(3), 432–448. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-02-2017-0045
- Bradford. W.. Chen. C.. & Zhao. Y. (2019). The effect of corporate governance on credit ratings: Evidence from China's bond market. *Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting*. 30(2). 113–144. https://doi.org/10.1111/jifm.12094
- Chiang. W. C.. Shang. J.. & Sun. L. (2017). Broad bond rating change and irresponsible corporate social responsibility activities. *Advances in Accounting*. *39*(May). 32–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adiac.2017.09.002
- Chou. T. K.. & Buchdadi. A. D. (2018). Executive's remuneration, good corporate governance, ownership structure, and firm performance: A study of listed banks in Indonesia. *Journal of Business and Retail Management Research*. 12(3). 79–91. https://doi.org/10.24052/jbrmr/v12is03/art-07
- **Darwanto. & Chariri. A.** (2019). Corporate governance and financial performance in Islamic banks: The role of the Sharī ah supervisory board in multiple-layer management. *Banks and Bank Systems*. *14*(4). 183–191. https://doi.org/10.21511/bbs.14(4).2019.17
- **de Souza Murcia, F. C., Murcia, F. D. R., Rover, S.,** & Borba, J. A. (2014). The determinants of credit rating: Brazilian evidence. *BAR Brazilian Administration Review*, 11(2), 188–209. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1807-7692201400020005
- **Dewi. M. S.. & Utami. E. M.** (2020). The influence of profitability and liquidity to bonds rating and the impact on the bond yield. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science* (2147-4478). 9(4). 214–219. https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v9i4.729
- Elhaj. M. A.. Muhamed. N. A.. & Ramli. N. M.

- (2018). The effects of board attributes on Sukūk rating. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*. *11*(2). 312–330. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-03-2017-0057
- Elmagrhi. M. H.. Ntim. C. G.. Wang. Y.. Abdou. H. A.. & Zalata. A. M. (2020). Corporate Governance Disclosure Index–Executive Pay Nexus: The Moderating Effect of Governance Mechanisms. European Management Review. 17(1). 121–152. https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12329
- **Ghalib. S.** (2018). Good corporate governance rating and bank profitability in Indonesia: Evidence from panel data. *International Journal of Business and Society*. *19*(3). 570–586.
- **Grassa. R.** (2013). Sharīʻah supervisory system in Islamic financial institutions New issues and challenges: a comparative and GCC models. 29(4). 333–348. https://doi.org/10.1108/H-01-2013-0001
- **Grassa. R.** (2016). Corporate governance and credit rating in Islamic banks: Does Sharī'ah governance matters? In *Journal of Management and Governance* (Vol. 20. Issue 4). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10997-015-9322-4
- Harper, J., Kemper, K. J., & Sun, L. (2019). Managerial ability and bond rating changes. *Financial Markets, Institutions and Instruments*, 28(5), 381–401. https://doi.org/10.1111/fmii.12123
- **Hartanto. J. A.. & Sulaksono.** (2019). Applications of good corporate governance relating to shareholders. Commissioners, and directors of limited liability companies in Indonesia. *Problems and Perspectives in Management.* 17(3). 410–420. https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.17(3).2019.33
- **Hong. S.** (2019). Corporate governance and agency problems. *International Journal of Economics and Business Research*. 17(1). 70–86. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJEBR.2019.096586
- Huang. H. H. Lobo. G. J. Wang. C. & Zhou. J. (2018). Do Banks Price Independent Directors' Attention? *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*. 53(4). 1755–1780. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022109018000157
- **Jensen M. Meckling W** (1976) Theory of the firm: managerial behavior, agency costs, and ownership structure. Journal of Financial Economics 3: 305-360.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X
- Jiraporn. P.. Jiraporn. N.. Boeprasert. A.. & Chang. K. (2014). Does corporate social responsibility

- (CSR) improve credit ratings? Evidence from geographic identification. *Financial Management*. 43(3). 505–531.
 - https://doi.org/10.1111/fima.12044
- Khalil. R.: Shuib. M. S. Bin. & Shahzad. A. (2020). Corporate governance indicators and firm value: Evidence of Sharī'ah compliant firms from Pakistan. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*. 24(9). 2434–2451. https://doi.org/10.37200/IJPR/V24I9/PR290275
- **Kurniati. S.** (2019). Stock returns and financial performance as mediation variables in the influence of good corporate governance on corporate value. *Corporate Governance (Bingley)*. *19*(6). 1289–1309.
 - https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-10-2018-0308
- Lin, C. M., Chen, C. C. S., Yang, S. Y., & Wang, W. R. (2020). The Effects of Corporate Governance on Credit Ratings: The Role of Corporate Social Responsibility. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 56(5), 1093–1112. https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2018.1512486
- Mahrani. M., & Soewarno. N. (2018). The effect of good corporate governance mechanism and corporate social responsibility on financial performance with earnings management as mediating variable. Asian Journal of Accounting Research. 3(1), 41–60.
- https://doi.org/10.1108/ajar-06-2018-0008
- Marfuah. M. (2016). Pengaruh Good Corporate Governance Dan Debt Maturity Terhadap Prediksi Bond Rating. *EKUITAS (Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Keuangan)*. 20(4). 434.https://doi.org/10.24034/j25485024.y2016.v20. i4.2079
- Mariana. M. (2016). Pengaruh Mekanisme Corporate Governance Terhadap Peringkat Obligasi Yang Tercatat Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode Tahun 2008 2010. *AKRUAL: Jurnal Akuntansi.* 7(2). 104. https://doi.org/10.26740/jaj.v7n2.p102-119
- Marshall, A., McCann, L., & McColgan, P. (2019). The market reaction to debt announcements: UK evidence surrounding the global financial crisis. *British Accounting Review*, 51(1), 92–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2018.04.001
- **Menkumham.** (2008). No Title Undang Undang No. 21 Tahun 2008 Tentang Perbankan Syariah. *UU No. 21 Tahun 2008. 21*.
- Mseddi. S.. & Naifar. N. (2013). Rating methodology

- and evaluating the issuer of ṣukūk. *International Journal of Management Science and Engineering Management*. 8(4). 262–275. https://doi.org/10.1080/17509653.2013.829629
- Ntim. C. G., Soobaroyen. T., & Broad. M. J. (2017). Governance structures, voluntary disclosures and public accountability: The case of UK higher education institutions. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 30(1), 65–118. https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-10-2014-1842
- Plakandaras. V.. Gogas. P.. Papadimitriou. T. Doumpa. E.. & Stefanidou. M. (2020). Forecasting credit ratings of eu banks. *International Journal of Financial Studies*. 8(3). 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs8030049
- Putri. A. V.. & Prasetyo. K. (2020). The Effect of Good Corporate Governance Mechanism on Earnings Management in Lq-45 Companies. *The Indonesian Accounting Review*. 14(4). 11. https://doi.org/10.5709/ce.1897-9254.425
- **Qizam. I.. & Fong. M.** (2019). Developing financial disclosure quality in sukūk and bond market: Evidence from Indonesia. Malaysia. and Australia. *Borsa Istanbul Review.* 19(3). 228–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2019.05.002
- Quttainah. M. A.. Song. L. & Wu. Q. (2013). Do Islamic banks employ less earnings management? Journal of International Financial Management and Accounting. 24(3). 203–233. https://doi.org/10.1111/jifm.12011
- **Sahabbudin. Z. A.. & Hadianto. B.** (2020). The impact of the supervisory board on bond ratings of non-financial companies. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*. 17(1). 15–23.
- **Sherif. M.. & Erkol. C. T.** (2017). Şukūk and conventional bonds: shareholder wealth perspective. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*. 8(4). 347–374. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-09-2016-0105
- Shleifer. A.. & Vishny. R. W. (2007). A survey of corporate governance. *Corporate Governance and Corporate Finance: A European Perspective*. 52(2). 52–90. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203940136
- Skousen. C. J.. Song. X. (Jason). & Sun. L. (2018). CEO network centrality and bond ratings. *Advances in Accounting*. 40(July 2017). 42–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adiac.2017.12.003
- Uhde. D. A., Klarner. P., & Tuschke. A. (2017).

- Board monitoring by the chief financial officer: A review and research agenda. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*. 25(2). 116–133. https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12188
- **Uribe-Teran. C.. & Mosquera. S.** (2019). Structural factors, global shocks and sovereign debt credit ratings. *Journal of Economics and Finance*. *43*(1). 104–126. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12197-018-9435-0
- Usman. M.. Siddique. M. A.. Makki. M. A. M.. Gull. A. A.. Dardour. A.. & Yin. J. (2020). Executives' pay-performance link in China: evidence from independent and gender-diverse remuneration committees. *International Journal of Emerging*

- *Markets*. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-09-2019-0701
- Wijaya, R. Z., Rohman, A., & ., Zulaikha, Z. (2018). The effect of good corporate governance on financial performance and net working capital turnover as a mediation variable: evidence from Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX). *Journal of Business & Retail Management Research*, *13*(01), 70–81. https://doi.org/10.24052/jbrmr/v13is01/art-07
- Zemzem. A.. & Zouari. S. (2016). Japan's Corporate Governance Structures and Credit Rating. *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting*. 8 (1). 195. https://doi.org/10.5296/ajfa.v8i1.929

Dwi Sulistiani is a lecturer in Accounting at the Faculty of Education at the State Islamic University of Maulana Malik Ibrahim Malang who is currently taking doctoral studies in the doctoral program in accounting at the Airlangga University. Her research explores the factors affecting organizational performance. He is particularly interested in Shariah accounting, sustainability, shariah supervisory board, Islamic higher education, Islamic economic and Public Sector Accounting. His work has appeared several international journals. E-mail: dwi.sulistiani-2020@feb.unair.ac.id or dwisulistiani@pips.uinmalang.ac.id.

Prof. Dr. Bambang Tjahjadi is a Professor at the Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Airlangga for more than 30 years. His research explores the factors affecting organizational performance. He is particularly interested in diverse strategic management issues influencing firm value and performance, management accounting research, sustainability, green innovation, and MSMEs. His work has appeared several international journals. Email: bambang.tjahjadi@feb.unair.ac.id.

هل يُعد الأداء المالي للشركات وسيطًا مؤثرًا في الحوكمة الجيدة لتصنيف الصكوك الإندونسية؟

داوي سولستياني محاضر، كلية الاقتصاد والأعمال جامعة إيرلانجا، إندونيسيا

بمبانج جهيادي أستاذ، كلية التربية جامعة مولانا مالك إبراهيم الإسلامية الحكومية مالانج، إندونيسيا

المستخلص. تُعد الصكوك الإسلامية واحدة من منتجات المالية الإسلامية، لذلك ينبغي العناية بتصنيفها الائتماني. هَدَفت هذه الدراسة إلى تقديم دليل تجربي عن تأثير حوكمة الشركات الجيدة على التصنيف الائتماني للصكوك الإندونيسية، حيث تم استخدام الانحدار المتعدد، والانحدار اللوجستي الترتيبي واختبار سوبل لاختبار الفرضيات في ٢٢٢ صكًا مدرجة في بورصة إندونيسيا في ٣١ ديسمبر ٢٠١٠. أظهرت نتائج البحث أن عدد عضوية مجلس الرقابة واستقلاليته وخبرته، وعدد أفراد لجنة التدقيق وخبرتها تؤثران بشكل مباشر على تصنيف الصكوك الإندونيسية وإدراجها. كما أظهرت النتائج أن للأداء المالي تأثيرًا مباشرًا على تصنيف هذه الصكوك مما يؤثر بشكل رئيس في العناصر الثلاثة المهمة في وظيفة مراقبة الحوكمة الجيدة للشركات وهي: معيار المجالس الإشرافية، والاستقلالية، وعدد أعضاء مجالس الإشراف الشرعي. لذلك من المهم للشركات أن تهتم بالحوكمة الجيدة، لاسيما المتعلق منها بالوظيفة الاشرافية والأداء المالي لدعم تصنيف هذه الصكوك. أكدت الدراسة كذلك أن وجود مجلس للإشراف الشرعي يدعم تحقيق الأداء المالي في الشركات الإندونيسية يساعد على تصنيف الصكوك في المؤسسات المالية الإسلامية.

الكلمات الدَّالة: الصكوك، الحوكمة الجيدة للشركات، الأداء المالي، التصنيف الائتماني للصكوك، سوق المال الإندونيسي

تصنیف C14, D25, G34, M41, N25 : **JEL** تصنیف H33, H65, J32, K16, L34, T4 :**KAUJIE**