### **Original Article**

### Prevalence and Risk Factors of Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders among occupational versus physical therapists in Saudi Arabia

Shehab M. Abd El-Kader<sup>1,2</sup>, Subhi Mustafa Qawagzah<sup>3</sup>, Ibrahim Alsulimani<sup>4</sup>, Osama Alsaiari<sup>4</sup>, Khaled Alsail<sup>4</sup>

### **Abstract:**

**BACKGROUND**: Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WRMSD) is considered one of the worldwide major problems across the health care system and It affects the productivity, quality of work and the employee's daily life activities.

**OBJECTIVE**: This study was designed to estimate the prevalence and associated factors of WRMSD among occupational therapists versus physical therapists in Saudi Arabia.

**MATERIALS and METHODS:** A cross-sectional study in which an online questionnaire was circulated through social media applications to target occupational and physical therapist in Saudi Arabia only 363 subjects completed the questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of three sections [1] an introduction to explain the study goals; [2] questions about demographic information such as gender, years of experience, the highest educational degree completed, work setting, and specialty; [3] questions about the anatomic distribution of musculoskeletal injuries, potential risk factors, and coping responses to injuries.

**RESULTS:** There was a high prevalence of WRMSD among 363 occupational and physical therapists who completed the survey. The most affected regions were knees (92.6%) followed by lower back area (72.7%), neck (54.5%), upper back area (41%) and shoulder (38%). The most commonly associated job risk factor for WRMSD is working in awkward positions such as bending knees of flexing back (71.3%) followed by dealing with heavy patients (60.6%). In addition, there was no significant difference between occupational and physical therapists regarding prevalence and risk factors of work-related musculoskeletal disorders (P<0.05).

**CONCLUSION:** The WRMSD are common among occupational and physical therapists in Saudi Arabia. The most common risk factors were working in awkward positions such as bending knees of flexing back followed by dealing with heavy patients. There is a need to develop ergonomics training programs and effective interventions to overcome WRMSD and improve working environment among occupational and physical therapist

Keywords:

Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders; Pain; Occupational Therapy; Physical Therapy.

 1-09-2024
 Abd El-kader et al. (2024). Open access. The Journal of Medical Rehabilitation Sciences is an Official Publication of King Abdulaziz University. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons</u> .edu.sa/in

 Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike
 4.0

 /JRS/index
 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the

identical terms.

How to cite this article: Abd El-Kader SM, Qawagzah SM, Alsulimani I, et. al. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders among occupational versus physical therapists in Saudi Arabia. J Med Rehab Sci. 2024;1(1):31-39.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physical Therapy, Faculty of Medical Rehabilitation Sciences, King Abdulaziz University <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiopulmonary and Geriatrics, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University. <sup>3</sup>Department of Water Hygiene, Jerash Health Directorate, Ministry of Health, Jordan. <sup>4</sup>Department of Occupational Therapy, Faculty of Medical Rehabilitation Sciences, King Abdulaziz University.

### Address for

correspondence: Shehab M. Abd El-Kader Department of Physical Therapy, Faculty of Medical Rehabilitation Sciences, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80324, Jeddah, 21589, Saudi Arabia. e-mail: salmuzain@kau.edu.sa

> Submission: 24-06-2024 Accepted: 15-07-2024 Published: 01-09-2024

This article can be accessed online at: https://journals.kau.edu.sa/in dex.php/JRS/index Doi: 10.4197/Mrs.1-1.5

### Introduction

W ork-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WRMSD) are regarded as one of the biggest issues facing the medical community globally. It has an impact on the worker's everyday activities, productivity, and quality of work. It also raises the financial burden of treating these injuries [1,2]. The WRMSD includes a "wide range of inflammatory and degenerative diseases and disorders that result in pain and functional impairment," according to the World Health Organization. They mainly appear when individuals are exposed to work-related activities and circumstances that, in addition to other variables, greatly encourage the development of WRMSD symptoms or worsen them [3,4].

The majority of WRMSD develops over time, where many variables often interact to create musculoskeletal problems rather than a single cause. Many of the physical causes and risk factors of work-related motion sickness (WRMSD) include handling loads while bending and twisting, dynamic or repetitive movements, static and bad postures, shaking movements, inadequate lighting or cold work environments, and working quickly and for extended periods of time while sitting or standing in the same posture, according to the European Agency for Safety and Health (EU-OSHA) [5].

Occupational injuries are prevalent among healthcare professionals [6]. Occupational therapists [7] and Physical therapists (PTs) in specific are routinely exposed to work-related physically demanding tasks such as handling patients, applying manual techniques and assuming sustained improper positions, which may lead to the development of WRMSD [8]. This subsequently may reduce therapists' quality of life [9] as well as increase the economic cost associated with treating such injuries [10].

For the best of our knowledge, limited studies available about WRMSD and musculoskeletal disorders among occupational therapists, therefore the present study was the first study was the first trail to compare the risk WRMSD among occupational and physical therapists. Therefore, this study was designed to find out the prevalence and possible associated factors of WRMSD among occupational therapists versus physical therapists in Saudi Arabia.

### **Materials and Methods**

This is a cross-sectional survey study. The study was approved and conducted in accordance to the guidelines of the Ethics committee of the Faculty of Medical Rehabilitation Sciences; Jeddah; Saudi Arabia.

### **Recruitment method**

Invitations were sent to Saudi occupational and physical therapists with a standard message to motivate therapists to participate in the survey. Further, the online questionnaire was distributed by using a google form link. In addition, therapists from different governorates were contacted directly and were invited to participate.

### **Eligibility criteria**

Male and female therapists were eligible to participate if they fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: (1) licensed to work in Saudi, and (2) have at least one year of experience. Participants were excluded if they were occupational and physical therapy students and intern or other healthcare professionals, or had an experience of less than one year after graduation.

### Statistical analysis

Data were fed to the computer and analyzed using IBM SPSS software package version 20.0 (IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Qualitative data were described using number and percent. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to verify the normality of distribution. Quantitative data were described using range (minimum and maximum), mean, standard deviation, and median. Descriptive statistics was used to express the prevalence of WRMSD, risk factors, and coping responses. Chi-square test was used to examine the association between the highest prevalent WRMSD and demographic variables. Moreover, Mann Whitney test was used for abnormally distributed quantitative variables in order to compare between the two studied groups (P<0.05).

### **Results**

### **Demographic data**

More than half of participants are males (50.1%) and 49.9% are males with male to female ration is 1.01: 1. The mean age of participants ranged between 22 years to 48 years with mean of  $27.61\pm 5.84$  years. The mean weight, height and BMI in our studied participants are  $69.43\pm 18.13$  Kg,  $166.25\pm 9.35$  cm and  $24.69\pm 5.09$  Kg/m<sup>2</sup> respectively. More than half of them (59.8%) are from Jeddah followed by Riyadh (13.8%) then Makkah (8.3%). Right hand is the dominant hand in most participants (85.4%).

Table 1: Demographic and baseline characteristics of	
studied participants.	

Items	Studied participants (n= 363					Studied participants (n=				
	No	%								
Gender										
Male	182	50.1%								
Female	181	49.9%								
Age (years)										
Mean± SD	27.61± 5.84									
Range	22-48									
Weight (Kg)										
Mean± SD	69.43± 18.13									
Range	40- 120									
Height (cm)										
Mean± SD	166.25± 9.35									
Range	150- 190									
BMI (Kg/m²)										
Mean± SD	24.69± 5.09									
Range	16.02- 39.18									
City										
Jeddah	217	59.8%								
Riyadh	50	13.8%								
Makkah	30	8.3%								
Madinah	22	6.1%								
Taif	11	3.0%								
Yanbu	11	3.0%								
Al Rass	6	1.7%								
Tabuk	6	1.7%								
Jazan	5	1.4%								
Abha	5	1.4%								
Dominant Hand										
Right	310	85.4%								
Left	48	13.2%								
Ambidextrous	5	1.4%								
Physical Activity										
Highly Active	49	13.5%								
Active (Moderate)	190	52.3%								
Light Active (Sedentary)	124	34.2%								
The highest obtained education	on qualification	01.00/								
Bachelor	295	81.3%								
Diploma	5	1.4%								
	47	12.9%								
Veero of Experience	16	4.4%								
	252	CO 404								
1-5 years	252	09.4% 11.004								
0-10 years	43	11.0%								
16-20 years	42	11.0%								
16-20 years	15	4.1%								
Above 20 years	11	3.0%								
Bhysical therapist	167	46.004								
	107	40.0%								
Type of work facility	190	54.0%								
Public Hospital	150	13.8%								
Private facility	1/7	40.5%								
Both	57	15 7%								
DUUI	57	13.770								

According to physical activity, 52.3% participants reported moderate activity, 34.2% of them are less active and 13.5% are highly active. Regarding education qualification, the majority (81.3%) had Bachelor's degree, 1.4% of them had diploma degree, 12.9% of them had master degree and 4.4% of them had doctorate degree. Concerning years of experience, 69.4% participants had 1-5 years' experience, 11.8% of them had 6-10 years' experience, 11.6% of them had 11-15 years' experience, 4.1% of them had 16 -20 years' experience and 3% of them had above 20 years' experience. More than half participants (54%) are occupational therapist, while 46% of them are physical therapists (Table 1).

The most commonly associated environmental/job risk factor for work related musculoskeletal disorders is working in awkward positions such as bending knees of flexing back (71.3%) followed by dealing with heavy patients (60.6%) then incorrectly using your body mechanics (53.2%) and taking stationary positions for a long time & having to move patients passively (51.5%). Other factors are illustrated in (Table 2).

#### Table 2: Job risk factors contributing to workrelated pain among the studied participants.

	· · · · · ·							
	participants							
	(n= 36	3)						
	No.	%						
3. What are the routinely occupational tasks that may								
cause your pain? (You may choose all that ap	oplies)							
Working in awkward positions such as bending knees of flexing back	259	71.3%						
Dealing with heavy patients	220	60.6%						
Incorrectly using your body mechanics	193	53.2%						
Taking stationary positions for a long time	187	51.5%						
Having to move patients passively	187	51.5%						
Lake of sufficient resting time between cases	176	48.5%						
Repeating the same treatment technique	171	47.1%						
Repeated bending and twisting	171	47.1%						
Examining and treating lots of patients daily	160	44.1%						
Continue work despite injury or pain	138	38.0%						
Working beyond your physical abilities	121	33.3%						
Having to save falling patients	116	32.0%						
Working area is very narrow and wouldn't allow me to work without adding physical stress	94	25.9%						
Lack of receiving proper training to accommodate your body mechanics with work demands	94	25.9%						
Using ergonomically improper tools	61	16.8%						

### Affected body parts with work-related pain

The knees are the most commonly affected body area (92.6%) followed by lower back area (72.7%) then neck (54.5%), upper back area (41%), shoulder (38%), hands (33.3%), thumbs (30.3%), hips (9.1%), ankles (7.7%) as well as elbows (4.7%) (Table 3).

## Table 3: Affected body parts with work-related painamong the studied participants.

	participants							
	(n= 363)							
	No	%						
In the last 12 months, which of the following regions								
do you feel pain as a result of mai	nual work:	:						
Knees	336	92.6%						
Lower back area	264	72.7%						
Neck	198	54.5%						
Upper back area	149	41.0%						
Shoulder	138	38.0%						
Hands	121	33.3%						
Thumbs	110	30.3%						
Hips	33	9.1%						
Ankles	28	7.7%						
Elbows	17	4.7%						

## Strategies and altered work habits to reduce body strain

The most commonly used strategy by the studied participants to reduce body strain & pain was adjusting the patient's or physician's position (33.3%) followed by performing warms up or exercise regularly (22.9%), taking regular breaks or at fatigue (21.2%), seeking help of a physiotherapist or requesting help from a colleague for handling the case (7.7%) and using a splint or Kinesio taping or adjusting the plinth height (6.1%) (Table 4&5). Occupational & physical therapists had significant different gender, age, weight, height, city, dominant hand, physical therapy, educational level and years of experience.

Regarding the comparison between occupational & physical therapists regarding affected body parts, there is no significant difference between occupational & physical therapists regarding affected body part (Table 6). Comparison between occupational & physical therapists regarding symptoms revealed there is no significant difference (Table 7).

Table 4: Strategies and altered work habits that the studied participants to reduce body strain.

	participants (n= 363)						
	No	%					
How do you handle your symptoms from your occupational tasks?							
Adjust the patient's position or mine	121	33.3%					
Perform warms up or exercise regularly	83	22.9%					
Take regular breaks or at fatigue	77	21.2%					
Seek help of a physiotherapist	28	7.7%					
Request help from a colleague for handling the case	28	7.7%					
Use a splint or Kinesio taping	22	6.1%					
Adjust the plinth height	22	6.1%					

## Table 6: Comparison between occupational & physicaltherapists regarding affected body parts.

		Occupational therapist (n=196)		Phys thera (n=10	ical apist 67)	Test value	P- value											
		n	%	n	%													
	Knees	175	89.3%	161	96.4%													
In the	Lower back area	126	64.3%	138	82.6%													
months,	Neck	98	50.0%	100	59.9%	X²= 6.86												
which of the following regions	Upper back area	80	40.8%	69	41.3%													
	Shoulder	68	34.7%	70	41.9%		0.651 (NS)											
do you feel pain	Hands	61	31.1%	60	35.9%													
as a result of manual work	Thumbs	60	30.6%	50	29.9%													
	Hips	20	10.2%	13	7.8%													
	Ankles	10	5.1%	18	10.8%													
	Elbows	10	5.1%	7	4.2%													

P value >0.05: Not significant (NS), P value <0.05 is statistically significant (S), p<0.01 is highly significant (HS) , X<sup>2</sup>:Chi- Square test

		Occupa therapis (n=196)	ational Physical therapist st (n=167)		Test value	P-value	
		n	%	n	%		
Condori	Male	66	33.7%	116	69.5%	X2- 46 20	<0.001
Gender.	Female	130	66.3%	51	30.5%	X - 40.20	(HS)
Age (years), media	n (IQR)	25 (23- 2	27)	30 (24-	- 35)	<sup>z</sup> <sub>MWU</sub> = 5.89	<0.001
Weight (Kg) , media	an (IQR)	62 (50- 8	62 (50- 83.5)		- 85)	<sup>z</sup> <sub>MWU</sub> = 3.94	(HS) <0.001 (HS)
Height (cm) , medi	an (IQR)	162 (157	162 (157- 171)		63- 175)	<sup>z</sup> <sub>MWU</sub> = 5.67	<0.001 (HS)
BMI (Kg/m2) , medi	an (IQR)	22.22 (20.28-2	28.14)	23.78 (22.48-	- 27.68)	<sup>z</sup> <sub>MWU</sub> = 1.95	0.052 (NS)
	Jeddah	111	84.2%	116	87.5%		
	Riyadh	44	22.4%	6	3.6%		
	Makkah	12	6.1%	18	10.8%	V2- 82 05	
	Madinah	12	6.1%	10	6.0%		
City	Taif	0	0.0%	11	6.6%		<0.001
01.9	Yanbu	0	0.0%	11	6.6%	A 02.30	(HS)
	Al Rass	6	3.1%	0	0.0%		
	Tabuk	6	3.1%	0	0.0%		
	Abha	5	2.6%	0	0.0%		
	Jazan	23	10.4%	2	6.3%		
	Right	164	83.7%	146	87.4%	¥2	
Dominant Hand	Left	32	16.3%	16	9.6%	x-= 9.12	0.010 (HS)
	Ambidextrous	0	0.0%	5	3.0%		
	Highly Active	16	8.2%	33	19.8%		
Physical Activity	Active (Moderate)	89	45.4%	101	60.5%	X <sup>2</sup> = 31.67	<0.001 (ମର)
	Light Active (Sedentary)	91	46.4%	33	19.8%		(1.0)
	Bachelor	179	91.3%	116	69.5%		
The highest	Diploma	0	0.0%	5	3.0%		<0.001
obtained educatio	n Master	6	3.1%	41	24.6%	X <sup>2</sup> = 44.74	(HS)
	Doctorate	11	5.6%	5	3.0%		
	1-5 years	169	86.2%	83	49.7%		
	6-10 years	11	5.6%	32	19.2%		
Years of Experience	11-15 years	0	0.0%	42	25.1%	X <sup>2</sup> = 81.6	<0.001 (HS)
	16 -20 years	10	5.1%	5	3.0%		(13)
	Above 20 years	6	3.1%	5	3.0%		
	Public Hospital	87	44.4%	72	43.1%		
lype of work facility	Private facility	74	37.8%	73	43.7%	X <sup>2</sup> = 2.083	0.353 (NS)
laolity	Both	35	17.9%	22	13.2%		

		Occu thera (n=19	pational pist 16)	Phy thei (n=1	sical rapist 167)	Test	P-
		n	%	n	%	value	value
Symptoms	Pain	153	78.1%	139	83.2%	X²= 7.42	0.060 (NS)
	Weakness	84	42.9%	43	25.7%		
	Stiffness	38	19.4%	34	20.4%		
	Heaviness	20	10.2%	13	7.8%		

 Table 7: Comparison between occupational & physical

 therapists regarding symptoms.

P value >0.05: Not significant (NS), P value <0.05 is statistically significant (S), p<0.01 is highly significant (HS), X<sup>2</sup>: Chi- Square test

### Discussion

The findings of the present study proved that the prevalence of the WRMSD among Saudi occupational and physical therapists is high, the most common anatomical sites are the knees followed by lower back area then neck, upper back area, shoulder, hands. The most common occupational tasks that may lead to WRMSD were working in awkward positions such as bending knees of flexing back followed by dealing with heavy patients then incorrectly using body mechanics. Therapists mostly handle their symptoms by modifying the working position of the therapist or the patient, performing warms up or exercise regularly, taking regular breaks or at fatigue or asking for help from other therapists.

The prevalence rates of WRMSD are usually high among most of physiotherapists around the world. Similarly, occupational therapists are at risk of work-related injuries because of the demanding nature of their work, therefore occupational and physical therapists face similar and significant risks of injury and WRMSD [7]. However, information about work-related injuries and musculoskeletal disorders among occupational therapists is limited, this study designed to measure the prevalence and risk factors of WRMSD among occupational versus physical therapists in Saudi Arabia as well as the most adapted coping strategies.

Although limited previous studies analyzed WRMSD among occupational therapist, there were many previous studies have been conducted on physical therapists regarding WRMSD. The findings of this present study agreed with many previous studies which showed that pain is the most common symptom in five body areas included lower back, knees, shoulder, and neck [11-15].

We found that the five most affected body areas with WRMSD were knee, lower back, neck, upper back and shoulders, this order was different in many previous studies that reported that WRMSDs was higher in lower back, shoulders and neck than upper back knee [13, 14,16 and 17]. Also, a study was conducted in Bangladesh, showed that the most painful areas were the lower back, upper back, and neck [18]. Moreover, a study was conducted on occupational therapists in Northern Europe reported that the most area they complained was the neck. Similarly, several studies reported that occupational and physical therapists felt numbness and pain in the shoulder and lower back areas. The reason for this contradict with our findings that reported that the most commonly affected areas with WRMSD were knee, lower back, neck, upper back and shoulders may be due to the differences in the commonly used techniques of manual therapy used by the occupational and physical therapists in Saudi Arabia and northern Europe [19-23].

Moreover, a study was conducted among Greek physiotherapist, workers in the private sector are most affected by WRMSD [5]. While in our research it appeared that the percentages are similar to each other in both the government and private sectors. While, according to our results, repeated bending and twisting and working in awkward positions were the most common occupational tasks that may cause pain, these results agreed with many previous studies [1,5,14,17]. On the other hand, Alnaser and Aljadi,2019 reported that manual therapy techniques and transferring a patient were the top two risk factors [11]. However, adjust of the position of the patient or the position of the therapist was the most common strategy used to handle the symptoms according to the results of the present study which agreed with Kakaraparthi et al, 2021 [13].

The nature of using an online-based survey is the major limitation of this study. It was impossible to control who could access this study. However, before accessing the survey, a brief statement was written indicating that this survey was developed only for licensed occupational and physical therapists who are working in Saudi Arabia and are actively treating patients participated in this study. In addition, the small sample size may limit generalization of results. Moreover, this study used self-reported data, so there was possibility that the participants tended to over or underestimate their response. However, further trail is required to assess the association between work related musculoskeletal disorder and the psychosocial factors of the occupational and physical therapists.

### Conclusion

The results of this study reveal that the prevalence of WRMSD among occupational and physical therapists in Saudi Arabia was high. The most affected body region was the knee, followed by low back then neck, upper back area and shoulder. The most common risk factors were working in awkward positions such as bending knees of flexing back.

The most common coping strategies were adjusting patient's or therapist's body position and giving up the technique for a less painful one. These findings call for the need to develop ergonomics training programs and effective interventions and modifications to overcome WRMSD and improve working environment among therapists in Saudi Arabia.

### Acknowledgement:

Authors are grateful for the cooperation and support of all subjects who participated in this study.

### **Ethical approval statement**

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee for Scientific Research, Faculty of Applied Medical Sciences, King Abdulaziz University.

### **Financial support and sponsorship**

This study was conducted without external financial support.

### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

### References

- 1. Abu-Taleb W, Rehan Youssef A. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among Egyptian physical therapists. Bull Fac Phys Ther. 2021 Dec;26(1):7.
- Vieira ER, Schneider P, Guidera C, Gadotti IC, Brunt D. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among physical therapists: A systematic review. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil. 2016;29(3):417–28.
- 3. WHO Expert Committee on Identification and Control of Work-Related Diseases, World Health Organization. Identification and control of work-related diseases: report of a WHO expert committee [meeting held in Geneva from 28 November to 2 December 1983]. Identif Prév Mal Liées À Prof Rapp Un Com Experts OMS Réuni À Genève 28 Novembre Au 2 Déc 1983 [Internet]. 1985; Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/40176
- 4. Milhem M, Kalichman L, Ezra D, Alperovitch-Najenson D. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among physical

therapists: A comprehensive narrative review. Int J Occup Med Env Health. 2016;29(5):735–47.

- 5. Anyfantis ID, Biska A. Musculoskeletal Disorders Among Greek Physiotherapists: Traditional and Emerging Risk Factors. Saf Health Work. 2018 Sep;9(3):314–8.
- Vieira ER, Schneider P, Guidera C, Gadotti IC, Brunt D. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among physical therapists: a systematic review. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil. 2015; 1:1–12.
- 7. Darragh A, Huddleston W, King P. Work-related musculoskeletal injuries and disorders among occupational and physical therapists. Am J Occup Ther 2009;63(3):351-62.
- 8. Nordin NAM, Leonard JH, Thye NC. Work-related injuries among physiotherapists in public hospitals: A Southeast Asian picture. Clinics (Sao Paulo). 2011;66(3):373–8.
- Punnett L, Wegman DH. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders: the epidemiologic evidence and the debate. J Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2004;14(1):13–23.
- 10. Stock S, Nicolakakis N, Raïq H, Messing K, Lippel K, Turcot A. Underreporting work absences for nontraumatic work-related musculoskeletal disorders to workers' compensation: results of a 2007–2008 survey of the Québec working population. Am J Public Health. 2014;104(3): e94– e101.
- 11. Alnaser MZ, Aljadi SH. Physical therapists with workrelated musculoskeletal disorders in the State of Kuwait: A comparison across countries and health care professions. Work. 2019 Jun 19;63(2):261–8.
- 12. Meh J, Bizovičar N, Kos N, Jakovljević M. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among Slovenian physiotherapists. J Health Sci [Internet]. 2020 May 29 [cited 2022 Oct 23]; Available from: https://www.jhsci.ba/ojs/index.php/jhsci/article/view/880.
- 13. Kakaraparthi VN, Vishwanathan K, Gadhavi B, Reddy RS, Samuel PS, Alshahrani MS, et al. The prevalence, characteristics, and impact of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among physical therapists in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia – a cross-sectional study. Med Pr. 2021;72(4):363–73.
- 14. Salik Y, Özcan A. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders: A survey of physical therapists in Izmir-Turkey. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2004 Aug 18;5(1):27.
- 15. Alrowayeh HN, Alshatti TA, Aljadi SH, Fares M, Alshamire MM, Alwazan SS. Prevalence, characteristics, and impacts of work-related musculoskeletal disorders: a survey among physical therapists in the State of Kuwait. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2010 Jun 11;11(1):116.
- 16. Masoudl Z, i. Souliman S, Salihl M, Mohmmed S, Hamed2 M, Edres2 M, et al. Work- Related Injuries and Safety Concern among Physiotherapists in Libya: An Exploratory Study. 2021 Dec 1; 3:86–97.

- 17. Campo M, Weiser S, Koenig KL, Nordin M. Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders in Physical Therapists: A Prospective Cohort Study with 1-Year Follow-up. Phys Ther. 2008 May 1;88(5):608–19.
- 18. Cite: Islam MS, Habib MM, Hafez MA, Nahar N, Lindstrom-Hazel D, Rahman MK. Musculoskeletal complaints among physiotherapy and occupational therapy rehabilitation professionals in Bangladesh. Work. 2015;50(3):379-86.
- 19. Zenker R, Girbig M, Hegewald J, Gilewitsch I, Wagner M, Nienhaus A, Seidler A. Musculoskeletal Complaints in Occupational Therapists Compared to the General Population: A Cross-Sectional Study in Germany. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Jul 8;17(14):4916.
- 20. Darragh AR, Campo M, King P. Work-related activities associated with injury in occupational and physical therapists. Work. 2012;42(3):373-84.
- 21. Kashif M, Hassan S, Aniq Younas M, Shafique A, Bhatti ZM, Dustgir A. Prevalence, workplace risk factors and coping strategies of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among healthcare workers in tertiary care hospitals. Work. 2023;74(1):237-245.
- 22. Gyer G, Michael J, Inklebarger J. Occupational hand injuries: a current review of the prevalence and proposed prevention strategies for physical therapists and similar healthcare professionals. J Integr Med. 2018;16(2):84-89.
- 23. Morabito, J., Penkala, S. & Coxon, K. Workplace musculoskeletal problems in occupational therapy students. BMC Public Health. 2021 Apr 6;21(1):660.

# انتشار وعوامل خطر الاضطرابات العضلية الهيكلية المرتبطة بالعمل بين المعالجين المهنيين مقابل المعالجين الفيزيائيين في المملكة العربية السعودية

شهاب م عبدالقادر<sup>1,2</sup>، صبحي مصطفى قواقزه<sup>3</sup>، ابراهيم السايماني<sup>4</sup>، اسامة العسيري<sup>4</sup>، خالد السعيل<sup>4</sup>

1 قسم العلاج الطبيعي، كلية علوم التأهيل الطبي، جامعة الملك عبد العزيز ، جدة، المملكة العربية السعودية. 2 قسم أمراض القلب والرئة والشيخوخة، كلية العلاج الطبيعي، جامعة القاهرة، مصر . 3 قسم الصحة العامة والمياه، مديرية صحة جرش، وزارة الصحة، جرش، الأردن. 4 قسم العلاج الوظيفي، كلية علوم التأهيل الطبي، جامعة الملك عبد العزيز ، جدة، المملكة العربية السعودية.

### المستخلص:

الخلفية: تعتبرالاضطرابات العضلية الهيكلية المرتبطة بالعمل إحدي المشاكل الرئيسية في جميع أنحاء العالم عبر نظام الرعاية الصحية وتؤثر على الإنتاجية وجودة العمل وأنشطة الحياة اليومية للموظف. الهدف: تم تصميم هذه الدراسة لتقدير مدى انتشار تعتبرالاضطرابات العضلية الهيكلية المرتبطة بالعمل والعوامل المرتبطة به بين المعالجين المهنيين مقابل المعالجين الفيزيائيين في المملكة العربية السعودية. المواد والأساليب: دراسة مقطعية تم فيها تداول استبيان عبر الإنترنت عبر تطبيقات وسائل التواصل الاجتماعي لاستهداف أخصائي العلاج الوظيفي والفيزيائي في المملكة العربية السعودية أكمل الاستبيان عبر الإنترنت عبر تطبيقات وسائل التواصل الاجتماعي لاستهداف أخصائي العلاج الدواسة. (2) أسئلة حول المعلومات الديموغرافية مثل الجنس ، وسنوات الخبرة ، وأعلى درجة تعليمية من ثلاثة أقسام (1) مقدمة لشرح أهداف الدراسة. (2) أسئلة حول المعلومات الديموغرافية مثل الجنس ، وسنوات الخبرة ، وأعلى درجة تعليمية مكتملة ، ويبئة العمل ، والتخصص ؛ (3) أسئلة حول التوزيع التشريحي للإصابات العضلية الهيكلية ، وعوامل الخطر المحتملة ، واستجابات التأقلم مع الإصابات. النتائج: كان هناك انتشار كبير للاضطرابات العضلية الهيكلية المرتبطة بالعمل بين 363 من المعالجين المهنيين والفيزيائيين الذين أكملوا (14) أسئلة حول التوزيع التشريحي للإصابات العضلية الهيكلية المرتبطة بالعمل بين 363 من المعالجين المهنيين والفيزيائيين الذين أكملوا (3) أسئلة حول التوزيع التشريحي للإصابات العضلية الهيكلية المرتبطة بالعمل بين 363 من المعالجين المهنيين والفيزيائيين الذين أكملوا النتائج: كان هناك انتشار كبير للاضطرابات العضلية الهيكلية المرتبطة بالعمل بين 363 من المعالجين المهنيين والفيزيائيين الذين أكملوا (14×) والكتف (33×). عامل الخطر الوظيفي الأكثر شيوعا هو العمل في أوضاع محرجة مثل ثني الركبتين من ثني الظهر (17.7٪) يليه التعام مع المرضى الثقيليين (60.60٪). بالإضافة إلى ذلك ، لم يكن هناك فرق كبير بين المعالجين المهنيين والفيزيائيين فيما يتعلق يليه التعامل مع المرضى التقيليين (60.60٪). بالإضافة إلى ذلك ، لم يكن هناك فرق كبير بين المعالجين المهنيين والفيزيائيين فيما يتعلق يليه التعامل مع المرضى الخطرابات العضلية المرتبطة بالعمل.

الخلاصة: تعتبرا لاضطرابات العضلية الهيكلية المرتبطة بالعمل شائعة بين المعالجين المهنيين والفيزيائيين في المملكة العربية السعودية. كانت عوامل الخطر الأكثر شيوعا هي العمل في أوضاع محرجة مثل ثني الركبتين من ثني الظهر متبوعا بالتعامل مع المرضى البدناء. هناك حاجة لتطوير برامج التدريب على بيئة العمل وتحسين بيئة العمل بين المعالجين المهنيين والفيزيائيين. الكلمات الدالة: الاضطرابات العضلية الهيكلية المرتبطة بالعمل; الألم; العلاج الوظيفي; العلاج الطبيعي.

### الباحث الرئيسي:

شهاب محمد عبد القادر قسم العلاج الطبيعي، كلية علوم التأهيل الطبي، جامعة الملك عبد العزيز، جدة، المملكة العربية السعودية. صندوق البريد: 80324,جدة، 21589 البريد الالكتروني: salmuzain@kau.edu.sa