### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# The Association Between Physical Activity and Obesity among School Children and Adolescents in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

## Heba M. Elkhodary<sup>1,2</sup>, BDS, MSc, PhD, and Deema J. Farsi<sup>1</sup>, BDS, MSc, Diplomate ABPD

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry,

King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Al Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

#### Correspondence

Dr. Heba M. Elkhodary P.O. Box 17848, Jeddah 21494, Saudi Arabia e.M: hkhodary@kau.edu.sa

Submission: 08 May 2017 Accepted: 20 June 2017

#### Citation

Elkhodary HM, Farsi DJ. The association between physical activity and obesity among school children and adolescents in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. JKAU Med Sci 2017; 24 (3): 13-27. DOI: 10.4197/Med. 24.3.2

#### Abstract

Obesity affects children's physical and psychological well-being. In this study we investigated the associations of obesity with physical activity, socioeconomic factors, and lifestyle among Saudi children and adolescents. A stratified sample of 729 school children and 794 adolescent students was recruited. Waist circumference and body mass index were used to categorize the participants as obese and non-obese, and a structured mixed guestionnaire was used to collect socioeconomic, physical activity, and lifestyle data. The results revealed that obese adolescent males (33.5%) were significantly more common than obese adolescent females (13.6%, P < 0.001). Body mass index-based and waist circumference-based obesity was significantly more common in private schools compared to public schools among school children and adolescents (P = 0.013 and P = 0.002, respectively). Student obesity was associated with higher parental education, especially among the school children (P = 0.006). Among adolescents, obesity was strongly associated with family income (P = 0.002) and time spent watching television (P = 0.004). Non-obese children and adolescents were considered subjectively more active than their obese counterparts (P < 0.001 and P = 0.011, respectively). In conclusion, obesity was common among school children and adolescents, and was associated with private schooling, adolescent male sex, parental education, and family income. Physical inactivity and time spent watching television were important risk factors for obesity among Saudi school children and adolescents.

#### **Keywords**

Obesity; Prevalence; Physical inactivity; School children; Adolescents

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Obesity among children and adolescents affects their physical and psychological well-being<sup>[1]</sup>. Several studies have evaluated the prevalence of obesity and overweight status among Saudi children, based on local sociocultural variations and rapid urbanization patterns<sup>[2-4]</sup>. The results indicate that Saudi children become overweight at the approximate age of 5–9 years, and increasing prevalence are observed among adolescents<sup>[5]</sup>. Several cross-sectional studies have also highlighted alarming increases in the Saudi prevalence of obesity based on body fat percentage and body mass index (BMI)<sup>[6-8]</sup>.

Environmental and lifestyle factors contribute to the worldwide obesity epidemic<sup>[9]</sup>, and Saudi Arabia has undergone rapid recent changes in living standards. This modernization has led to lifestyle changes that include decreased physical activity and an increasingly sedentary lifestyle<sup>[3,10-12]</sup>. These changes are likely related to increased reliance on computer technology, telecommunication, and urbanization, which are associated with noticeable health effects<sup>[13]</sup>.

The World Health Organization (WHO) has reported that 60% of the world's population is inactive and that physical inactivity is a threat to global health, based on physical activity assessments among different populations <sup>[14]</sup>. Saudi studies have also suggested that the prevalence of physical inactivity is 43.3–99.5%<sup>[13]</sup>, and Al-Hazzaa<sup>[10]</sup> has reported that Saudi children and adolescents perform minimal physical activity. Mahfouz et al.<sup>[15]</sup> investigated the sex-based differences in adolescent obesity, and reported that the lack of mandatory physical activity during school activities was a significant risk factor for obesity. Al-Ghamdi<sup>[16]</sup> also explored the association between obesity and time spent watching television among Saudi school children, and reported that replacement of physical activity with television watching was a risk factor for obesity.

There are minimal data regarding the Saudi epidemiology of physical activity, despite the fact that Saudi Arabia has undergone massive changes in the national standard of living and has an increasing prevalence of childhood obesity<sup>[6-8]</sup>. The available data regarding the Saudi prevalence of physical activity have typically been obtained in urban areas using large and randomized samples<sup>[10-12,17]</sup>, with physical activity not

being a major outcome of interest<sup>[12,17]</sup>. Thus, additional data is needed to understand, prevent, and control obesity among Saudi children. The present study aimed to investigate the associations of obesity with physical activity, socioeconomic factors, and lifestyle among Saudi school children and adolescents. The null hypothesis to be tested was: physical activity and socioeconomic factors are not associated with obesity among Saudi children and adolescents.

#### **PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS**

This cross-sectional study was performed in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, and collected data during January-May 2017. Based on previous studies, the prevalence of obesity were assumed to be 30% among school children and 23% among adolescent students [18]. The required sample size was calculated using free webbased software (OpenEpi version 2), and individuals were randomly sampled from the elementary and secondary schools in Jeddah. The 620 public and private elementary schools teach approximately 120,000 boys and 118,500 girls. Based on a required sample of 895 school children, and a male: female ratio of 1:0.97, we recruited 450 boys and 445 girls. The 320 public and private secondary schools teach approximately 50,250 male students and 48,100 female students. Based on a required sample of 751 adolescents, and a male: female ratio of 1:0.95, we recruited 380 male adolescents and 371 female adolescents.

Schools were randomly selected for the recruitment using a previously prepared numbered list and a random number generator<sup>[19]</sup>. One public elementary school and one private elementary school were selected for each sex and each of the four main regions in Jeddah (East, South, West, and North), in order to obtain the required number of school children. For each school, two Grade 3 classes (7–10 years old) were randomly selected, and a substitute school was randomly selected if a previously selected school only had one Grade 3 class. The same procedure was performed to recruit the secondary school students (Grade 11, 15–19 years old).

The study's protocol (#028-16) was approved by the Ethical Committee of King Abdulaziz University, Faculty of Dentistry (KAUFD), the Ministry of Education in Jeddah, and each school's principal. Students were instructed to bring a consent form home to their parents, and only students who returned signed consent forms were included in the evaluations. All school staff and included students received an explanation of the study before we performed the examination and measurements procedures.

#### Sociodemographic and Physical Activity Data

A structured questionnaire was used to gather the participants' data. The structured questionnaire was reviewed by three experts to review the questionnaire items, evaluate the correspondence between its parts and to comment on how the questions were relevant to the study. The experts rated each question and these rates were analyzed statistically to calculate the validity of questionnaire. The analysis revealed a 97.6% agreement about the questionnaire parts. The questionnaires were distributed during the first school visit, were completed at home by the parents, and were collected during the second visit.

The first part of the questionnaire collected demographic and socioeconomic data. Parents were asked to provide their name, age, sex, nationality, profession, and education level, as well as their child's school name and grade. Parental education was categorized as less than secondary school, secondary school, university, and post-graduate studies. The second part of the questionnaire included closed-ended questions regarding frequencies of physical activity (*e.g.*, playing sports or walking instead of motorized transportation) and time spent watching television or playing video games. In addition, the parents were asked to provide their subjective assessment of whether or not their children were physically active.

#### **Anthropometric Measurements**

The research team was adequately trained to evaluate each participant's height, weight, and waist circumference (WC). A calibration exercise was performed for the height and WC measurements, which evaluated the intra-examiner reliability where 10 patients who attended KAUFD clinics were tested before and after a one-week interval (Kappa score 0.87) and inter-examiner reliability where 20 patients who attended KAUFD clinics (Kappa score 0.79). Disagreements in any measurements were resolved by re-taking the measurements until consensus was reached. The anthropometric measurements were taken twice for each participant, and the average value was used for the analysis. Waist circumference was obtained using a regular measuring tape at the superior iliac crest while standing and during minimal breathing. Height was recorded using a commercial non-elastic measuring tape while the participant stood without shoes and with straight shoulders, freely hanging arms, and looking straight forward. The tape was used to measure the distance between the floor and a point that was marked on the wall at the highest point of the participant's head. Weight was measured using an electronic weight scale while the participants were wearing minimal clothing and no shoes<sup>[20,21]</sup>.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY USA). Data were reported as numbers and percentages. The associations of WC-based and BMI-based obesity with the participants' characteristics were evaluated in each age group using the chi-square test with Bonferroni multiple comparison correction. All P-values were twosided, and differences were considered statistically significant at P-values of < 0.05.

#### RESULTS

The participants' sociodemographic characteristics are shown in Table 1. The students included 729 children (7-10 years old, 46.2% female and 53.8% male) and 794 adolescents (15-19 years old, 51.9% female and 48.1% male). Similar proportions of the participants were enrolled in private and public schools (children: 50.3% vs. 49.7%, adolescents: 50.6% vs. 49.4%, respectively), and similar distributions were observed among the four districts of Jeddah. The students' fathers generally had a college degree (children: 52.7%, adolescents: 42.8%), with mothers of school children most commonly having graduated from high school (35.0%) and mothers of adolescents most commonly having a college degree (38.7%). The fathers were most commonly self-employed or employed in private companies (children: 32.8%, adolescents: 36.1%), while mothers were generally unemployed (children: 72.7%, adolescents: 72.5%). Based on BMI, 18.0% of the children and 16.0% of the adolescents were overweight, while 18.2% of children and 23.2% of adolescents were obese. Based on WC, 17.7% of the children and 18.8% of the adolescents were obese, while 82.3% of children and 81.2% of adolescents were non-obese.

		Age g	roups	
	7–10	) years	15–1	9 years
	n	%	n	%
Gender				
Female	337	46.22%	412	51.88%
Male	392	53.77%	382	48.11%
School Type				
Private	367	50.34%	402	50.62%
Public	362	49.65%	392	49.37%
District				
East	115	15.77%	187	23.55%
North	229	31.41%	213	26.82%
South	170	23.31%	192	24.18%
West	215	29.49%	202	25.44%
Mother Occupation				
Self-Employed	20	2.74%	43	5.41%
Government Employee	116	15.91%	145	18.26%
Privately Employed	51	6.99%	18	2.26%
Unemployed	530	72.70%	576	72.54%
Other	12	1.64%	12	1.51%
Father Occupation		· · · ·		·
Self-Employed	164	22.49%	287	36.14%
Government Employee	155	21.26%	128	16.12%
Privately Employed	239	32.78%	170	21.41%
Soldier/Police	75	10.28%	49	6.17%
Laborer	32	4.38%	19	2.39%
Unemployed	14	1.92%	100	12.59%
Mother Educational Level		· · · ·		
<high school<="" td=""><td>101</td><td>13.85%</td><td>125</td><td>15.74%</td></high>	101	13.85%	125	15.74%
High School	255	34.97%	268	33.75%
College	335	45.95%	307	38.66%
Postgraduate Degree	38	5.21%	94	11.83%
Father Educational Level		· · ·		
< High School	75	10.28%	80	10.07%
High School	189	25.92%	180	22.67%
College	384	52.67%	340	42.82%
Postgraduate Degree	81	11.11%	194	24.43%
BMI Classification				•
Underweight	7	9.60%	55	6.92%
Normal weight	458	62.82%	428	53.90%
Overweight	131	17.96%	127	15.99%
Obese	133	18.24%	184	23.17%
WC Classification		· · ·		
Non-obese	600	82.30%	645	81.23%
Obese	129	17.69%	149	18.76%
	1	- I		1

Table 1. Participant characteristics.

The physical activity data are shown in Table 2. Approximately three-quarters of the school children (73.9%) and adolescents (76.5%) played sports, with frequencies classified as 1 time/week, 2–3 times/ week, and  $\geq$  4 times/week. Approximately two-thirds of school children (69.3%) and only 38% of adolescents played 2–3 times/week. Time spent

watching television was classified as 1 hr/day, 2–3 hr/ day, and  $\geq$  4 hr/day. Approximately one-half (52.9%) of school children and 36.6% of adolescents watched an average of 2–3 hr/day. High percentages of school children and adolescents did not walk to school (94.7% and 91.9%, respectively) or elsewhere (89.4% and 84.9%, respectively). The parents' subjective opinions

			Age G	roup	
		7–1	0 Years	15–1	9 Years
		n	%	n	%
Is your child engaged in sports?	No	190	26.06%	186	23.42%
	Yes	538	73.79%	607	76.44%
If yes, how many times per week?	No	0	0.00%	181	22.79%
n yes, now many times per week:	1	93	12.75%	165	20.78%
	2–3	505	69.27%	302	38.03%
	≥4	131	17.96%	146	18.38%
		-			
How many hours per day does the child spend	1	207	28.39%	192	24.18%
watching TV?	2–3	386	52.94%	290	36.52%
	≥4	136	18.65%	311	39.16%
To go to school, does your child walk or use	Transportation	690	94.65%	729	91.81%
transportation (car/bus)?	Walk	39	5.34%	64	8.06%
	•	•			
Other than school, does your child walk or take	Transportation	652	89.43%	673	84.76%
transportation to go out?	Walk	77	10.56%	120	15.11%
In your opinion, is your child physically active or	Active	679	93.14%	654	82.36%
sedentary?	Sedentary	50	6.85%	140	17.63%

Table 2. Physical activity.

indicated that (93.1%) of the children and (82.4%) of the adolescents were physically active, and only (6.9%) of children and (17.6%) of adolescents were considered physically inactive.

Table 3 shows the participants' demographic characteristics according to age and BMI category. The proportion of obese adolescent males (33.5%) was significantly higher than the proportion of obese adolescent females (13.6%, P < 0.001). Private schooling was also associated with BMI-based obesity among children (P = 0.013) and adolescents (P = 0.002). Student obesity increased with higher parental education levels, with the strongest association observed among the school children (P = 0.006). Student BMIbased obesity was not significantly associated with parental occupation, although BMI-based obesity was significantly associated with family income among adolescents (P = 0.002). The prevalence of normalweight adolescents was highest in the "sufficient income" category (68.5%), and was followed by the "some saving" category (58.4%) and the "insufficient income" category (53.6%).

Table 4 shows the participants' demographic characteristics according to age and WC category. Significant sex-based differences in the WC categories

were observed among the children (P = 0.001) and adolescents (P = 0.04). The prevalence of obese females was greater among school children (P = 0.032), while the prevalence of obese males was greater among adolescents (P < 0.001). Similar to the associations with BMI-based obesity, WC-based obesity was associated with school type, parental occupation, and family income.

Table 5 shows the physical activity data according to age and BMI category. In both age groups, BMIbased obesity was not significantly associated with playing sports, frequency of playing sports, or walking instead of using motorized transportation. However, time spent watching television was associated with adolescent obesity, with 45.1% of adolescent students watching  $\geq$  4 hr/day (P = 0.004). The parents' subjective assessment of physical activity was significantly associated with obesity, with greater physical activity reported for normal-weight children (P = 0.011) and normal-weight adolescents (P < 0.001), compared to their obese counterparts.

Table 6 shows the physical activity data according to age and WC category. Similar to the BMI-based association, time spent watching television was significantly associated with WC-based obesity among

Table 3. Demographic characteristics of the school children stratified by age and body mass index.	
3. Demographic characteristics of the school children stratified by age and bor	mass index
3. Demographic characteristics of the school children stratified by age	
3. Demographic characteristics of the school children stratified by age	0
3. Demographic characteristics of the school children stratified by age	an
3. Demographic characteristics of the school children stratified	ge
3. Demographic characteristics of the school children stratified	à
3. Demographic characteristics of the school children st	
3. Demographic characteristics of the school children	strati
3. Demographic characteristics of the school child	$\subseteq$
3. Demographic characteristics of the school c	þ
3. Demographic characteristics of the schoo	ĊÞ:
3. Demographic characteristics of the sc	
3. Demographic characteristics of the	U
3. Demographic characteristics of th	Ð
3. Demographic characteristic	누
3. Demographic characteristic	đ
3. Demographic chara	()
3. Demographic chara	rist
3. Demographic char	acte
3. Demogr	-
3. Demogr	J.
3. Demogr	μi
3. Demogi	db.
3. Dem	Ð
صّ ۳	Ĕ
Table 3.	
Table	ŝ
Tab	le
	Tab

Gender Female P-value Male School type Private P-value East District East South South		Indo	http://	7–10 Years	Vears			-		15-1	15–19 Years		
e d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d		Indov								1			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Ungerweig Normal Wei	weight/ I Weight	0verv	Overweight	40	Obese	Unde Norma	Underweight/ Normal Weight	Oven	Overweight	90	Obese
Ape		c	%	e	%	c	%	Ľ	%	E	%	c	%
Ape		206	61.12%	70	20.77%	61	18.10%	294	71.35%	62	15.04%	56	13.59%
Ape		259	66.07%	61	15.56%	72	18.36%	189	49.47%	65	17.01%	128	33.50%
ed X				0	0.179					V	<0.001		
		216	58.85%	71	19.34%	80	21.79%	223	55.47%	99	16.41%	113	28.10%
		249	68.78%	60	16.57%	53	14.64%	260	66.32%	61	15.56%	71	18.11%
				0	0.013					ŏ	0.002		
North South		12	61.77%	20	17.39	24%	20.86%	109	58.28%	25	13.36%	53	28.34%
South		145	63.31%	40	17.46	44%	19.21%	134	62.91%	39	18.30%	40	18.77%
		113	66.47%	31	18.23	26%	15.29%	109	56.77%	35	18.22%	48	25.00%
West		136	63.25%	40	18.60	39%	18.13%	131	64.85%	28	13.86%	43	21.28%
P-value				0	0.941					Ő	0.196		
Mother Self-Employed	ed	12	60.00%	9	30.00%	2	10.00%	24	55.81%	5	11.62%	14	32.55%
Occupation Government Employee	t Employee	66	56.89%	25	21.55%	25	21.55%	83	57.24%	26	17.93%	36	24.12%
Privately Employed	nployed	26	50.98%	8	15.68%	17	33.33%	6	50.00%	4	22.22%	5	27.77%
Unemployed	9	354	66.79%	89	16.66%	87	16.41%	359	62.32%	90	15.79%	127	22.04%
Other		7	58.33%	3	25.00%	2	16.66%	8	66.66%	2	16.66%	2	16.66%
P-value				0	0.762					ö	0.058		
Father Self-Employed	ed	106	64.63%	23	14.02%	35	21.34%	178	62.02%	44	15.33%	65	22.64%
Occupation Government Employed	t Employed	26	62.58%	28	18.06%	30	19.35%	75	58.59%	17	13.28%	36	28.12%
	nployed	144	60.25%	50	20.92%	45	18.82%	108	63.52%	31	18.23%	31	18.23%
Soldier/police	e	53	70.66%	12	16.00%	10	13.33%	27	55.10%	8	16.32%	14	28.57%
Laborer		24	75.00%	4	12.50%	4	12.50%	11	57.89%	2	10.52%	9	31.57%
Unemployed	8	6	64.28%	4	28.57%	1	7.14%	57	57.00%	18	18.00%	25	25.00%
Other		32	64.00%	10	20.00%	8	16.00%	27	65.85%	7	17.07%	7	17.07%
P-value				.0	0.778					0.	0.647		
Mother < High School	lo	68	67.32%	24	23.76%	9	8.91%	76	60.80%	23	18.40%	26	20.80%
Educational level High School		176	69.01%	37	14.50%	42	16.47%	172	64.17%	35	13.05%	61	22.76%
College/Postgraduate Degree	tgraduate	221	59.24%	70	18.76%	82	21.98%	235	58.60%	69	17.20%	76	24.18%
P-value				0.0	0.006				-	ő	0.465		
Father < High School	lo	52	69.33%	16	21.33%	7	9.33%	54	67.50%	10	12.50%	16	20.00%
Educational level High School		137	72.48%	25	13.22%	27	14.28%	106	58.88%	31	17.22%	43	23.88%
College/Postgraduate Degree	tgraduate	276	59.35%	06	19.35%	66	21.29%	323	60.48%	86	16.10%	125	23.40%
P-value				0	0.006					Ö	0.756		
-									-				
Family income Insufficient		258	62.92%	73	17.80%	79	19.26%	258	55.5%	73	55.7%	79	59.4%
Sufficient		143	66.82%	41	19.15%	30	14.01%	143	30.8%	41	31.3%	30	22.6%
Some Savings	gs	64	60.95%	17	16.19%	24	22.85%	64	13.8%	11	13.0%	24	18.0%

Ŀ.
$\subseteq$
Ð
ſ
Ę
C
~
. <u> </u>
St
g
$\leq$
p
an
Φ
ag
~
`ف
Q
Ű.
Ē
Ľa
StI
Ð
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$
-j
_
8
Ē
SC
Φ
t
of
Ũ
Sti
eri
<u> </u>
g
ਯ
5
Ŭ
ap
~
go
Ξ
ē
$\Box$
4
able
a.
F

			2420V 01-T	Japa	Адеч	Age uroup	16.1	16_10 Vosve	
	Demographics	Mon	Nam ahasa		Ohara	Mon	I-CI		Ohaca
		u u	-00ese		%		-00656	5	%
Gondor	Eomalo	761	27 AA06	76	77 550K	3/16	83 080%	ek K	16 01%
	rellare M. I.	102	0/11/1 /ULV 20	0, 1	0// CC: 70//		0/02.CO	00 6	0/10/01
	Male	4CC			0%20.01	667			71.12%0
P-value			0.001	0			0	0.040	
-		100	100C 0F	ŕ	/00F 00	For	11 (20)	ç	10FC FC
School type	Private	791	/9.29%	/9	20./0%	304	/5.62%	98	24.37%
	Public	309	85.35%	53	14.64%	341	86.98%	51	13.01%
P-value			0.032	32			V	<0.001	
District	East	96	83.47%	19	16.52%	141	75.40%	46	24.60%
	North	186	81.22%	43	18.77%	176	82.63%	37	17.37%
	South	137	80.58%	33	19.41%	154	80.21%	38	19.79%
	West	181	84.18%	34	15.81%	174	86.14%	28	13.86%
P-value			0.763	63	-			0.051	-
Mother	Self-Employed	17	85.00%	£	15.00%	32	74.42%	11	25.58%
Occupation	Government Employee	92	79.31%	24	20.68%	112	77.24%	33	22.76%
	Privately Employed	37	72.54%	14	27.45%	14	77.78%	4	22.22%
	Unemployed	443	83.58%	87	16.41%	477	82.81%	66	17.19%
	Other	11	91.66%	-	8.33%	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
P-value			Numbers too small	:oo small			Number	Numbers too small	-
Father Occupation	Self-Employed	126	76.83%	38	23.17%	232	80.84%	55	19.16%
	Government Employee	130	83.87%	25	16.13%	100	78.13%	28	21.88%
	Privately Employed	199	83.26%	40	16.74%	139	81.76%	31	18.24%
	Soldier/police	65	86.67%	10	13.33%	39	79.59%	10	20.41%
	Laborer	28	87.50%	4	12.50%	16	84.21%	£	15.79%
	Unemployed	12	85.71%	2	14.29%	84	84.00%	16	16.00%
P-value			Numbers too small	:oo small			0	0.579	
Mother Educational	< Hiah School	68	88.11%	12	11.88%	110	88.00%	15	12.00%
level	High School	215	84.31%	40	15.68%	215	80.22%	53	19.77%
	College/Postgraduate Degree	296	79.35%	17	20.64%	320	79.80%	81	20.19%
P-value			0.071	71			0	0.107	
Father Educational	< Hiah School	64	85.33%	11	14.66%	69	86.25%	11	13.75%
level	High School	162	85.71%	27	14.28%	148	82.22%	32	17.77%
	College/Postgraduate Degree	374	80.43%	91	19.56%	428	80.14%	106	19.85%
P-value			0.212	12			0	0.397	
Eamily income	Inc. (66 cinut	220	70EV CO	7	17 5606	170	7075 10	22	15 6206
	Cufficient	181	0/ CT-20	33	NOC. 11	730	0/07-20 83 560%	сс Тү	0/ CO.CI 902 V 91
	Some Savings	81	77.14%	24	22.85%	227	76.68%	69	23.31%
P-value		5	0.261			Ì		0.041	

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Inderveight/ Normal Weight \\ \hline In \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Inderveight/ Normal Weight \\ \hline In \begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Inderveight/ Normal Weight \\ \hline Inderveight \\ \hline In$	7-10 Years 6 0 verweight 6% 34 26 84% 96 73 0.718 0.718	% n 15% 39 .15% 94 .85% 94	Obese % 29.32% 70.68%	Underweight. Normal Weigh n	uninht /	15-1	15–19 Years		
Normal We    Normal We    Normal We    Normal We    Normal We    Normal We    No    Nalk	5 n 6% 34 4% 96 7% 10	% n %15% 39 .85% 94 00% 0		Underv Normal n	uninht /				
No      117      n        Yes      348      117        No      348      348        I      51      51        2-3      323      323        2-4      91      51        2-3      323      258        2-3      258      373        2-4      91      134        2-3      258      258        2-3      258      258        2-3      258      258        2-3      258      258        2-3      258      258        2-3      258      258        2-3      258      258        2-3      258      258        2-3      258      258        2-3      258      255        Walk      25      2        Walk      53      2        Walk      33      33	<b>n</b> 96 0 19	% 26.15% 73.85% 0.00%	% 29.32% 70.68%	c	Vilderweigint/ Normal Weight	0ven	Overweight	9	Obese
No      117        Yes      348        No      348        1      51        2-3      323        ≥4      91        2-3      323        ≥4      91        2-3      323        ≥4      91        2-3      323        ≥4      91        1      134        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-4      73        2-4      73        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-3      258        2-4      73 <th>34 96 0 19</th> <th>26.15% 73.85% 0.00%</th> <th>29.32% 70.68%</th> <th></th> <th>%</th> <th>c</th> <th>%</th> <th>=</th> <th>%</th>	34 96 0 19	26.15% 73.85% 0.00%	29.32% 70.68%		%	c	%	=	%
Yes  348    No  0    1  51    2-3  323    ≥4  91    2-3  323    ≥4  91    2-3  258    2-3  258    2-3  258    2-3  258    2-3  258    2-4  73    2-3  258    2-4  73    2-3  258    2-4  73    2-3  258    2-4  73    2-3  258    2-4  73    2-3  258    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  74    2-4  73    2-4  73    2-4  74    2-4 </th <th>96</th> <th>73.85%</th> <th>70.68%</th> <th>113</th> <th>23.44%</th> <th>28</th> <th>22.05%</th> <th>45</th> <th>24.46%</th>	96	73.85%	70.68%	113	23.44%	28	22.05%	45	24.46%
No  0    1  51    2-3  323    ≥4  91    2-3  323    ≥4  73    2-3  258    2-3  258    2-3  258    2-3  258    2-3  258    2-4  73    2-3  258    2-3  258    2-3  258    2-3  258    2-3  258    2-3  258    Malk  25    Malk  55    Walk  53	0	0.00%		369	76.56%	66	77.95%	139	75.54%
No      0      0      1        1      51      51      51        2-3      323      323      52        2-4      91      134      134        2-3      258      258      258        2-3      258      73      258        2-4      73      258      258        2-3      258      73      255        Walk      25      25      25        Walk      53      35      25        Walk      53      35      35		_				0	0.808		
No      0      0        1      51      51        2-3      323      323        ≥4      91      1        2-3      258      134        2-3      258      258        2-3      258      73        2-4      73      14        2-3      258      258        2-4      73      134        Malk      25      258        Malk      25      13        Malk      25      13        Malk      55      14        Malk      55      14									
1      51      51        2-3      323      323        2-4      91      7        1      134      7        2-3      258      73        2-4      73      7        2-3      258      73        2-4      73      7        2-4      73      7        2-3      258      73        2-4      73      7        2-3      258      7        2-4      73      7        2-4      73      7        2-3      25      7        2-4      25      7        2-4      75      7        2-4      73      7        2-4      25      7        2-5      7      7        2-4      73      7        2-4      75      7        2-4      73      7        2-4      75      7        2-4      73      7        2-4      7      7			0.00%	113	23.40%	26	20.47%	42	22.83%
2-3  323    >4  91    >4  91    2-3  258    2-3  258    2-4  73    24  73    24  73    24  73    25  73    Malk  25    Malk  25    Malk  73    Malk  73		14.50% 23	17.29%	102	21.12%	22	17.32%	41	22.28%
≥4  91    1  1    2-3  258    2-3  258    24  73    24  73    24  73    24  73    25  73    Malk  25    Malk  25    Malk  55    Malk  55    Malk  53	97 97	74.05% 85	63.91%	176	36.44%	52	40.94%	74	40.22%
1  134    2-3  258    2-3  258    24  73    25  440    Walk  25    Malk  33    Malk  53    Walk  55    Walk  55	57% 15	11.45% 25	18.80%	92	19.05%	27	21.26%	27	14.67%
1      134        2-3      258        2-3      258        24      73        24      73        24      73        24      73        25      25        Walk      25        Transportation      412        Walk      53        Walk      53	0.735					°.	0.151		
2-3  258    24  73    24  73    1  440    Malk  25    Malk  75    Malk  55    Walk  53	32% 37	28.24% 36	27.07%	123	25.47%	29	23.02%	40	21.74%
24  73    Transportation  440    Walk  25    Malk  17    Transportation  412    Walk  53	18% 72	54.96% 56	42.11%	181	37.47%	48	38.10%	61	33.15%
Transportation  440    Walk  25    Malk  12    Transportation  412    Walk  53	70% 22	16.79% 41	30.83%	179	37.06%	49	38.89%	83	45.11%
Transportation440Walk25Transportation412Walk53	0.345					0.	0.004		
Transportation  440    Walk  25    Transportation  412    Walk  53									
Walk  25    Transportation  412    Walk  53	52% 124	94.66% 126	94.74%	440	91.29%	113	88.98%	176	95.65%
Transportation  412    Walk  53	8% 7	5.34% 7	5.26%	42	8.71%	14	11.02%	8	4.35%
Transportation  412    Walk  53	0.061					0	0.939		
Walk 53		-	,	10,	101110	107		1	1000
Walk 03			7 2.00	40/ 75	04.44% 15 50%	101	04.23%0 15 750/	<u>در</u> بر	00.41%0 12 F.00/
P-value	+	01 03%	0%7C.1	د/	0%0C.CI	70	%c/.cl	0	0%60.61
	0.684					o	0.634		
			-						
452	-		79.70%	414	85.71%	102	80.31%	138	75.0%
Sedentary 13 2.80%	0% 10	7.63% 27	20.30%	69	14.29%	25	19.69%	46	25.0%
P-value	0.011					•	<0.001		

					A	Age Group			
				7–10 Years			15–19 Years	Years	
		N	Non-obese	0	<b>Obese</b>	Non-	Non-obese	90	Obese
		u	%	u	%	u	%	u	%
Q1	No	156	26.04%	34	26.36%	153	23.76%	33	22.15%
	Yes	443	73.96%	95	73.64%	491	76.24%	116	77.85%
P-value				0.941			0.676	576	
				-					
Q2	No	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	150	23.26%	31	20.81%
	1	69	11.50%	24	18.60%	130	20.16%	35	23.49%
	2–3	422	70.33%	83	64.34%	244	37.83%	58	38.93%
	≥4	109	18.17%	22	17.05%	121	18.76%	25	16.78%
P-value				0.090			0.738	38	
ő	-	173	28.83%	34	26.36%	163	25.31%	29	19.46%
	2–3	326	54.33%	60	46.51%	237	36.80%	53	35.57%
	≥4	101	16.83%	35	27.13%	244	37.89%	29	44.97%
P-value				0.024			0.192	92	
Q4	Transportation	567	94.50%	123	95.35%	584	90.68%	145	97.32%
	Walk	33	5.50%	6	4.65%	60	9.32%	4	2.68%
P-value				0.698			0.007	07	
		ì			1020 00	t i	10000		JOOL OO
ŝ	Iransportation	530	89.33%	110	89.92%	541	84.01%	132	88.59%
	walk	64	10.6/%	13	10.08%	103	0%66.61	/1	11.41%
P-value				0.843			0.159	59	
<b>0</b> 6	Active	574	95.67%	105	81.40%	542	84.03%	112	75.17%
	Sedentary	26	4.33%	24	18.60%	103	15.97%	37	24.83%
				10.001			1110		

the children (P = 0.024). Furthermore, the parents' subjective assessment of physical activity was also significantly associated with WC-based obesity, with greater physical activity reported for normal-weight children (P < 0.001) and normal-weight adolescents (P = 0.011), compared to their obese counterparts.

#### DISCUSSION

Several governmental reports have confirmed high rates of overweight status and obesity among Saudi children and adolescents, and previous reports have linked sedentary lifestyle to obesity<sup>[10,18,22,23]</sup>. Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate the associations of obesity with physical activity, socioeconomic factors, and education among children and adolescents in Jeddah. School children and adolescent students were selected for the present study because they can perform various types of physical activity in school and during their daily lives<sup>[24]</sup>. The null hypothesis that physical activity and socioeconomic factors are not associated with obesity among Saudi children and adolescents is rejected.

The study revealed non-significant differences in the BMI values for the male and female children, although female children were significantly more likely to have WC-based obesity, compared to male children. This discrepancy may be related to the different measurements for BMI and WC, as WC considers fat accumulation around the lower trunk, while BMI measures body mass regardless of the ratio of muscle to fat. Another explanation might be that boys are usually more active and more likely to participate in physical activity and sports, compared to girls. Similarly, Farsi et al.<sup>[25]</sup> found that female children were more obese, compared to male children, based on WC measurements but not BMI. However, 2005 data from the health survey for England revealed a lower incidence of obesity among girls who were  $\leq$  11 years old, compared to boys<sup>[26]</sup>.

In the present study, adolescent males were significantly more obese based on BMI and WC, compared to adolescent females. Similarly, Abalkhail<sup>[6]</sup> studied overweight and obesity trends among schoolchildren and adolescents in Jeddah using data from 1994 and 2000, and found that 14–16-year-old girls were less obese, compared to their male counterparts. In addition, Farsi and Elkhodary<sup>[27]</sup> studied the prevalence of overweight status and obesity among adolescents, and reported that males were more likely to be obese

(vs. females), because they more frequently went out with their friends and ate junk food. Moreover, similar findings have been reported among adolescents from Saudi Arabia<sup>[28,29]</sup>, Britain<sup>[30]</sup>, and the United States (US) <sup>[31]</sup>. It is possible that these differences are related to greater consumption of sugar and sugar-sweetened carbonated beverages among male adolescents, compared to female adolescents<sup>[29]</sup>. Nevertheless, other Saudi studies revealed that obesity was more prevalent among female adolescents, compared to male adolescents<sup>[4,32]</sup>. Al-Hazzaa et al.<sup>[33]</sup> have reported that physical activity was a weak risk factor for obesity among females, while Collison et al.[29] have reported that all Saudi children are increasingly gravitating towards sugar-rich foods and unhealthy dietary choices. Thus, less physical activity and greater sugar consumption among Saudi adolescents may explain their relatively high prevalence of obesity, compared to school children.

Interestingly, the prevalence of BMI-based and WC-based obesity among children and adolescents was significantly higher in private schools, compared to public schools. Similar results have been reported by Pattanaik et al.<sup>[34]</sup> who observed a higher prevalence of obesity/overweight status among school children in private Indian schools. Furthermore, Patnaik et al.[35] and Jagadesan et al.<sup>[36]</sup> have reported a higher proportion of overweight status/obesity in other private schools. The service of obesity-promoting foods in private schools might play a major role in this association<sup>[37]</sup>. Furthermore, children who attend public school more frequently come from lower income families, who might not be able to afford school food or to provide large meals at home. In contrast, children who attend private schools are more likely to have money to buy snacks and can afford larger meals. Other studies<sup>[38,39]</sup> have attributed the differences in obesity prevalence between public and private schools to the students walking to school and being driven to school, respectively.

Among the students in the present study, BMIbased obesity was associated with higher parental education, although this association was more significant among school children. In contrast, findings from an American health and nutrition examination survey (2005–2008) revealed that children and adolescents whose fathers had low education levels were more likely to be obese, compared to families in which the father had a college degree<sup>[40]</sup>. Nevertheless, Al Alwan *et al.*<sup>[41]</sup> also found a significant association

between obesity/overweight status among mothers with higher levels of education. Moreover, Gnavi et al.<sup>[42]</sup> and Anderson et al.<sup>[43]</sup> have reported associations between childhood obesity and the mother working, which may be related to the children skipping meals and selecting unhealthy snacks because they spend large amounts of time away from home<sup>[42,43]</sup>. Because educated Saudi women frequently spend most of their time at work or engaged in social activities, rather than spending time with their children, a caregiver or maid typically spends the most time with the children and has the greatest influence on their diet and time spent watching television. However, in contrast with our findings, reports from the United States (US)<sup>[44]</sup> and United Kingdom (UK)<sup>[45]</sup> have revealed that obesity was more prevalent among children from parents with low education levels. Moraeus et al.[46] also found a higher prevalence of overweight status and obesity among children whose parents had low educational levels, as these children were less likely to play organized sports and more likely to be physically inactive.

Among adolescents, BMI-based obesity was associated with family income in the present study, as normal-weight adolescents were most common in the "sufficient income" group, compared to the "some saving" and "insufficient income" group, while obesity was most common among high-income families. Similarly, Alam<sup>[2]</sup> observed a high obesity rate in the affluent districts of Riyadh, with anecdotal evidence of inactive children residing in fancy houses. Moreover, Amin et al.[47] studied overweight and obese male students (10-14 years old) in the eastern region of Saudi Arabia, and reported a significant relationship between high socio-economic standards and obesity. It is possible that families with low incomes try to save money by preparing their meals at home and only eating once or twice per day, while more affluent families can afford more meals/snacks per day, home delivery services, and eating in restaurants<sup>[48]</sup>.

In the present study, playing sports, the frequency of playing sports, and walking to school/other destinations were not significantly associated with obesity among the children and adolescents. However, normal-weight students (based on BMI or WC) were more likely to be considered physically active by their parents, compared to overweight or obese students. Mahfouz *et al.*<sup>[15]</sup> and Al-Hazzaa *et al.*<sup>[49]</sup> have also evaluated the associations of physical activity and other lifestyle factors with obesity, and reported positive correlations between obesity and physical inactivity. Furthermore, Saudi studies have reported that a lack of exercise was a significant risk factor for obesity among adolescents from southwestern Saudi Arabia<sup>[34]</sup>, and that low physical activity was associated with obesity among Saudi adolescents<sup>[3]</sup>.

Time spent watching television is an important factor in the development of childhood obesity<sup>[50]</sup>. In the present study, watching television for  $\geq$  4 hr/day was significantly associated with BMI-based obesity, and similar findings have been reported by other researchers<sup>[16,51]</sup>. It is possible that watching television for prolonged periods reduces the amount of physical activity and/or causes children to consume more or different foods. Nevertheless, other studies have revealed weak<sup>[52]</sup> or negative associations between obesity and watching television among children and adolescents<sup>[49,53]</sup>. However, weak or negative associations might be related to relatively short follow-ups or different age groups being examined in the different studies.

The present study has several strengths. First, we recruited a large number of students from various geographical areas in Jeddah. Second, we considered both BMI and WC data to categorize the participants as obese or non-obese. Third, a reliable and valid closedended questionnaire was used to obtain data regarding obesity, socioeconomic characteristics, lifestyle, and physical activity. Nevertheless, the present study also has several limitations. First, the cross-sectional design precludes any commentary regarding the causality of the associations that we observed. Second, the guestionnaire relied on subjective parental assessments for some variables, which could have been inaccurate, although this format is common in similar studies. Third, we only evaluated children from Jeddah, and it is possible that our findings are not representative of other regions of Saudi Arabia.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, obesity was common among school children and adolescents, and was associated with private schooling, adolescent male sex, parental education level, and family income. Physical inactivity and time spent watching television are important risk factors for obesity among school children and adolescents. It is therefore recommended for authorities developing prevention programs to implement healthy lifestyle education programs with great focus on increasing physical education hours and on educating children and parents to the hazards of overweight/ obesity. In addition, more future programs should be directed to parents to encourage their positive role in reducing the time their children spend watching TV and their engagement in physical activities.

#### **Future Research**

Further analytical studies should be encouraged to study other possible risk factors in other provinces in Saudi Arabia to expand our research on a national scale and to encourage cross-national initiatives to establish monitoring and preventing programs.

#### **Acknowledgments**

This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah (38-165-523). The authors thank the Deanship of Scientific Research for providing technical and financial support. The authors thank Professor Inas Elattar for performing the statistical analysis, as well as Dr. Leena Merdad, Dr. Amal Swelem, and Professor Najat Farsi for their continuous support.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors have no conflict of interest.

#### Disclosure

None of the authors received any type of commercial support either in forms of compensation or financial for this study. They have no financial interest in any of the products or devices, or drugs mentioned in this article.

#### **Ethical Approval**

#### Obtained.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Reilly JJ. Descriptive epidemiology and health consequences of childhood obesity. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005; 19(3): 327-341.
- [2] Alam AA. Obesity among female school children in North West Riyadh in relation to affluent lifestyle. Saudi Med J 2008; 29(8): 1139-1144.
- [3]1Al-Rukban MO. Obesity among Saudi male adolescents in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J 2003; 24(1): 27-33.
- [4] El-Hazmi MA, Warsy AS. The prevalence of obesity and overweight in 1-18-year-old Saudi children. Ann Saudi Med 2002; 22(5-6): 303-307.

- [5] Rudolf MC, Sahota P, Barth JH, Walker J. Increasing prevalence of obesity in primary school children: cohort study. BMJ 2001; 322(7294): 1094-1095.
- [6] Abalkhail B. Overweight and obesity among Saudi Arabian children and adolescents between 1994 and 2000. East Mediterr Health J 2002; 8(4-5): 470-479.
- [7] Al-Hazzaa HM. Rising trends in BMI of Saudi adolescents: evidence from three national cross-sectional studies. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 2007; 16(3): 462-466.
- [8] Al-Hazzaa HM. Prevalence and trends in obesity among school boys in Central Saudi Arabia between 1988 and 2005. Saudi Med J 2007; 28(10): 1569-1574.
- [9] Kant AK, Graubard BI. Secular trends in patterns of selfreported food consumption of adult Americans: NHANES 1971-1975 to NHANES 1999–2002. Am J Clin Nutr 2006; 84(5): 1215-1223.
- [10] Al-Hazzaa HM. Physical activity, fitness and fatness among Saudi children and adolescents: implications for cardiovascular health. Saudi Med J 2002; 23(2): 144-150.
- [11] Al-Rafaee S, Al-Hazzaa HM. Physical activity profile of adult males in Riyadh City. Saudi Med J 2001; 22(9): 784-789.
- [12] Al-Shahri MZ, Al-Almaei SM. Promotion of physical exercise by primary health care physicians in Riyadh city. Saudi Med J 1998; 19(1): 67-69.
- [13] Al-Hazzaa HM. Prevalence of physical inactivity in Saudi Arabia: a brief review. East Mediterr Health J 2004; 10(4-5): 663-670.
- [14] World Health Organization. Annual Global Move for Health Initiative, a Concept Paper. Geneva, Switzerland; WHO, 2003. 1-12.
- [15] Mahfouz AA, Shatoor AS, Khan MY, Daffalla AA, Mostafa OA, Hassanein MA. Nutrition, physical activity, and gender risks for adolescent obesity in Southwestern Saudi Arabia. Saudi J Gastroenterol 2011; 17(5): 318-322.
- [16] Al-Ghamdi SH. The association between watching television and obesity in children of school-age in Saudi Arabia. J Family Community Med 2013; 20(2): 83-89.
- [17] Taha AZ, Bella H. Heart disease risk factors: prevalence and knowledge in a primary care setting, Saudi Arabia. East Mediterr Health J 1998; 4(2): 293-300.
- [18] Al-Dossary SS, Sarkis PE, Hassan A, Ezz El Regal M, Fouda AE. Obesity in Saudi children: a dangerous reality. East Mediterr Health J 2010; 16(9): 1003-1008.
- [19] Bouchard GA, Brasili DS, Carlson DA, Varadharajan A. Random number generator. Google Patents. 2005
- [20] Janssen I, Katzmarzyk PT, Ross R. Waist circumference and not body mass index explains obesity-related health risk. Am J Clin Nutr 2004; 79(3): 379-384.
- [21] Li C, Ford ES, Mokdad AH, Cook S. Recent trends in waist circumference and waist-height ratio among US children and adolescents. Pediatrics 2006; 118(5): e1390-e1398.
- [22] Al-Saeed WY, Al-Dawood KM, Bukhari IA, Bahnassy A. Prevalence and socioeconomic risk factors of obesity

among urban female students in Al Khobar city, Eastern Saudi Arabia, 2003. Obes Rev 2007; 8(2): 93-99.

- [23] Sabra AA, Al-Sofii SM. Childhood obesity in primary health care centers in Al-Khobar city, Saudi Arabia: Prevalence and associated risk factors. Bull High Inst Public Health 2006; 36(1): 65-82.
- [24] Baranowski T, Mendlein J, Resnicow K, Frank E, Weber-Cullen K, Baranowski JC. Physical activity and nutrition in children and youth: an overview of obesity prevention. Prev Med 2000; 31(2): S1-S10.
- [25] Farsi DJ, Elkhodary HM, Merdad LA, Farsi NM, Alaki SM, Alamoudi NM, Bakhaidar HA, Alolayyan MA. Prevalence of obesity in elementary school children and its association with dental caries. Saudi Med J 2016; 37(12): 1387-1394.
- [26] Jotangia D, Moody A, Stamatakis E, Wardle, E. Obesity among Children under 11. London UK: Nat Cen 2005. Available: https://www.bipsolutions.com/docstore/pdf/10271.pdf
- [27] Farsi DJ, Elkhodary HM. The prevalence of overweight/ obesity in high school adolescents in Jeddah and the association of obesity association with dental caries. Ann Saudi Med 2017; 37(2): 114-121.
- [28] Bello LL, Al-Hammad N. Pattern of fluid consumption in a sample of Saudi Arabian adolescents aged 12–13 years. Int J Paediatr Dent 2006; 16(3): 168-173.
- [29] Collison KS, Zaidi MZ, Subhani SN, Al-Rubeaan K, Shoukri M, Al-Mohanna FA. Sugar-sweetened carbonated beverage consumption correlates with BMI, waist circumference, and poor dietary choices in school children. BMC Public Health 2010; 10: 234.
- [30] He FJ, Marrero NM, MacGregor GA. Salt intake is related to soft drink consumption in children and adolescents. Hypertension 2008; 51(3): 629-634.
- [31] French SA, Lin BH, Guthrie JF. National trends in soft drink consumption among children and adolescents age 6 to 17 years: prevalence, amounts, and sources, 1977/1978 to 1994/1998. J Am Diet Assoc 2003; 103(10): 1326-1331.
- [32] Farghaly NF, Ghazali BM, Al-Wabel HM, Sadek AA, Abbag FI. Life style and nutrition and their impact on health of Saudi school students in Abha, Southwestern region of Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J 2007; 28(3): 415-421.
- [33] Al-Hazzaa HM, Abahussain NA, Al-Sobayel HI, Qahwaji DM, Musaiger AO. Physical activity, sedentary behaviors and dietary habits among Saudi adolescents relative to age, gender and region. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2011; 8: 140.
- [34] Pattanaik S, Patnaik L, Patnaik S, Hussain M. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in a private school of Orissa, India. Internet J Epidemiol 2011; 10: 1-5.
- [35] Patnaik L, Pattanaik S, Sahu T, Rao EV. Overweight and obesity among Adolescents, a comparative study between government and private schools. Indian Pediatr 2015; 52(9): 779-781.

- [36] Jagadesan S, Harish R, Miranda P, Unnikrishnan R, Anjana RM, Mohan V. Prevalence of overweight and obesity among school children and adolescents in Chennai. Indian Pediatr 2014; 51(7): 544-549.
- [37] Mwaikambo SA, Leyna GH, Killewo J, Simba A, Puoane T. Why are primary school children overweight and obese? A cross sectional study undertaken in Kinondoni district, Dar-es-Salaam. BMC Public Health 2015; 15: 1269.
- [38] Pabayo R, Gauvin L, Barnett TA, Nikiéma B, Séguin L. Sustained active transportation is associated with a favorable body mass index trajectory across the early school years: findings from the Quebec Longitudinal Study of Child Development birth cohort. Prev Med 2010; 50 Suppl 1: S59-S64.
- [39] Duncan S, Duncan EK, Fernandes RA, Buonani C, Bastos KD, Segatto AF, Codogno JS, Gomes IC, Freitas IF Jr. Modifiable risk factors for overweight and obesity in children and adolescents from São Paulo, Brazil. BMC Public Health 2011; 11: 585.
- [40] Ogden CL, Lamb MM, Carroll MD, Flegal KM. Obesity and socioeconomic status in children and adolescents: United States, 2005-2008. NCHS Data Brief 2010; (51): 1-8.
- [41] Al Alwan İ, Al Fattani A, Longford N. The effect of parental socioeconomic class on children's body mass indices. J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol 2013; 5(2): 110-115.
- [42] Gnavi R, Spagnoli TD, Galotto C, Pugliese E, Carta A, Cesari L. Socioeconomic status, overweight and obesity in prepuberal children: a study in an area of Northern Italy. Eur J Epidemiol 2000; 16(9): 797-803.
- [43] Anderson PM, Butcher KF, Levine PB. Maternal employment and overweight children. J Health Econ 2003; 22(3): 477-504.
- [44] Singh GK, Siahpush M, Kogan MD. Rising social inequalities in US childhood obesity, 2003–2007. Ann Epidemiol 2010; 20(1): 40-52.
- [45] Howe LD, Tilling K, Galobardes B, Smith GD, Ness AR, Lawlor DA. Socioeconomic disparities in trajectories of adiposity across childhood. Int J Pediatr Obes 2011; 6(2-2): e144-e153.
- [46] Moraeus L, Lissner L, Yngve A, Poortvliet E, Al-Ansari U, Sjöberg A. Multi-level influences on childhood obesity in Sweden: societal factors, parental determinants and child's lifestyle. Int J Obes (Lond) 2012; 36(7): 969-976.
- [47] Amin TT, Al-Sultan AI, Ali A. Overweight and obesity and their relation to dietary habits and socio-demographic characteristics among male primary school children in Al-Hassa, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Eur J Nutr 2008; 47(6): 310-318.
- [48] Mirmiran P, Sherafat-Kazemzadeh R, Jalali-Farahani S, Azizi
  F. Childhood obesity in the Middle East: a review. East Mediterr Health J 2010; 16(9): 1009-1017.
- [49] Al-Hazzaa HM, Abahussain NA, Al-Sobayel HI, Qahwaji DM, Musaiger AO. Lifestyle factors associated with overweight

and obesity among Saudi adolescents. BMC Public Health 2012; 12: 354.

- [50] Proctor MH, Moore LL, Gao D, Cupples LA, Bradlee ML, Hood MY, Ellison RC. Television viewing and change in body fat from preschool to early adolescence: The Framingham Children's Study. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2003; 27(7): 827-833.
- [51] Gomez LF, Parra DC, Lobelo F, Samper B, Moreno J, Jacoby E, Lucumi DI, Matsudo S, Borda C. Television viewing and its association with overweight in Colombian children: results from the 2005 National Nutrition Survey: A cross sectional study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2007; 4: 41.
- [52] Burke V, Beilin LJ, Durkin K, Stritzke WG, Houghton S, Cameron CA. Television, computer use, physical activity, diet and fatness in Australian adolescents. Int J Pediatr Obes 2006; 1(4): 248-255.
- [53] Janssen I, Katzmarzyk PT, Boyce WF, King MA, Pickett W. Overweight and obesity in Canadian adolescents and their associations with dietary habits and physical activity patterns. J Adolesc Health 2004; 35(5): 360-367.

## العلاقة بين النشاط البدني والبدانة بين أطفال المدارس والمراهقين في جدة، المملكة العربية السعودية

هبة محمد الخضري'' وديما جميل فارسي"

<sup>1</sup>أستاذ مشارك، قسم طب أسنان الأطفال، جامعة الملك عبدالعزيز ، جدة - المملكة العربية السعودية <sup>1</sup>أستاذ مساعد، بقسم طب أسنان الأطفال وصحة الفم، كلية طب الأسنان للبنات - جامعة الأز هر (فـرع البنـات) <sup>1</sup>استاذ مساعد، قسم طب أسنان الأطفال، جامعة الملك عبدالعزيز ، جدة - المملكة العربية السعودية

*المستخلص*. تؤثر السمنة على سلامة الطفل البدنية والنفسية لذا بحثت هذه الدراسة العلاقة بين السمنة والنشاط البدني والعوامل الاجتماعية والاقتصادية وأسلوب الحياة بين الأطفال والمراهقين السعوديين. وقد قيمت هذه الدراسة المقطعية عينة من الطلبة مكونة من ٢٢٩ طفلا و ٤٩٧ طالبا في جدة، المملكة العربية السعودية وقد تم قياس محيط الخصر ومؤشر كتلة الجسم لتصنيف اللذين يعانون من السمنة المفرطة والذين لا يعانون من السمنة، وتم استخدام استبيان لجمع البيانات الاجتماعية والذين يعانون من السمنة، وتم استخدام استبيان لجمع البيانات الاجتماعية والاقتصادية والنشاط البدني، ونمط الحياة الفررية لا يعانون من السمنة، وتم استخدام استبيان لجمع البيانات الاجتماعية والاقتصادية والنشاط البدني، ونمط الحياة. أظهرت انتائج ان الذكور المراهقين البدناء (٣٣,٥) أكثر شيوعا الاجتماعية والاقتصادية والنشاط البدني، ونمط الحياة. أظهرت انتائج ان الذكور المراهقين البدناء (٣٣,٥) أكثر شيوعا مقارنة بالمدارس الحاصة الماد الديني من المراهقات البدناء وكانت نسبة السمنة القائمة على مؤشر كتلة الجسم والخصر أكثر شيوعا في المدارس الخاصة مقارنة بالمدارس الحكومي بين أطفال المدارس والمراهقين (٢٠, ١٩, ١٢٠, ١٩ مراهقين المامة البيناء السمنة بي مقارنة بالمدارس الحكومي بين أطفال المدارس والمراهقين (٢٠, ١٩ مر، ١٩ مراهقين الابيان المعودي النتائج ارتبطات السمنة بقارنة بالمدارس الماداري وخاصة بين الأطفال. هذا واظهرت النتائج اي النامي الوبي في بريتفاع مستوى تعليم الوالدين، وخاصة بين الأطفال. هذا واظهرت النتائج ايضا السمنة بقوة مع دخل الأسرة بارتفاع مستوى تعليم الوالدين، وخاصة بين الأطفال. هذا واظهرت النتائج ايضا السمنة بقوة مع دخل الأسرة بارتفاع مستوى تعليم الوالدين، وخاصة بين الأطفال. هذا واظهرت النتائج ايضا السمنة شائعة بين أطفال المدارس البدنيين أكثر نشطا من نظرائهم البدناء (٩ حرام والمراهقين على التنائج اي النتائج اي والمراهقين غير والوالقون نقال من نظرائهم البدناء (٩ حرام، ١٩ والمرد، والوليرت والولي البدنيين أكثر نشاط من نظرائهم البدناء (٩ حرام، ١٩ حام، والمراهقين في مشاهدة التلفزيون (٩ مراهي). واعتبر الأطفال المدارس والمراهقين أولمان البدنيين أكثر نشطا من نظرائهم البدناء (٩ حام، والمرد، والمرد، والمرد، والمراهقين من المادي والمرل ولممان والمراهقين، وولمن والمون والفون من النتايج علق